

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067

Australia

Mob: 61+401692057

Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org

Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



April 2015 No 858

A Matter of Blogging Forgery – Grand Deceptions?

The following item, if taken literally, is quite disturbing. Fredrick Töben rang Josh Bornstein to clarify the issues raised in his article but has not been able to reach him for a response.

<http://www.mauriceblackburn.com.au/our-people/lawyers/josh-bornstein/>

For Mr Josh Bornstein: I would appreciate your clarifying your article >>Understanding the Idea of Israeli Land Under Talmudic Law<< that appeared in yesterday's Times of Israel. I sense that you did not wish to have readers take what you wrote literally. Please advise. Fredrick Töben – Adelaide, 9:46 AM, 10/04/2015.

From: The Ugly Truth comment-reply@wordpress.com

Sent: Friday, 10 April 2015 3:44 AM

To: toben@toben.biz

Subject: Time of Israel published and deletes article

by Jewish Australian lawyer who wants to "wipe out Palestinians"

GENOCIDAL Jewish Australian lawyer wants to "wipe out Palestinians." Times of Israel publishes his article then removes it...now archived on the link below. "Whenever I'm asked how many "Palestinians" Israel has killed, I know the answer instantly: not nearly enough. Until there is not a single "Palestinian" left breathing, that answer will remain the same. "Palestinians" are a plague upon Israeli civilisation, and they're a plague that needs to be wiped out. My friends, the time to kill "Palestinians" is long overdue. Grab your weapon today and let's take out this "Palestinian" scum. The "Palestinians" are parasites and pigs. It's time to take the pigs to the slaughter. Death to all "Palestinians" and death to anyone who stands in the way of the Jews." <https://archive.today/bUarE>

THURSDAY, APRIL 9, 2015/ NISAN 20, 5775/ 1:22 PM IDT

THE TIMES OF ISRAEL

[THE BLOGS](#) > [Josh Bornstein](#)

This post has been contributed by a third party. The opinions, facts and any media content here are presented solely by the author, and The Times of Israel assumes no responsibility for them. In case of abuse, [report this post](#).

Understanding the Idea of Israeli Land Under Talmudic Law

APRIL 9, 2015, 12:56 PM



JOSH BORNSTEIN EMPLOYMENT LAWYER, WRITER AND PRESENTER, COMPANY DIRECTOR, ENTREPRENEUR, CAMPAIGNER, AND PRESIDENT OF TZEDEK, AN AUSTRALIAN-BASED SUPPORT AND ADVOCACY GROUP FOR VICTIMS OF CHILD

SEXUAL ABUSE WITHIN THE JEWISH COMMUNITY IN AUSTRALIA.

Recently, I have begun to intensely study the Talmud, and it has proven to be a very spiritually enlightening experience. The Talmud has much to teach us about God, Jews, Israel, and daily life. "It's a central pillar for understanding anything about Judaism, more than the Bible," says Rabbi Adin Steinsaltz, one of the world's best known Talmudical scholars. "The Talmud is not a divine gift given to people. The Jewish people created it. But on the other hand, it created the Jewish people. In so many ways, we're Talmudic Jews, whether we believe in it or not."

All practicing Jews are Talmudic Jews, as the Talmud is the very core of Judaism. The Talmud is the rock which forms the base of Judaism and Jewish law. We can see

the Talmud's influence all over Israel today, from Israel's calendars to its legal codes. In the words of Herman Wouk: "The Talmud is to this day the circulating heart's blood of the Jewish religion. Whatever laws, customs or ceremonies we observe – whether we are Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or merely spasmodic sentimentalists – we follow the Talmud. It is our common law."

Make no mistakes about it: under Talmudic divine law, Israel absolutely has the right to exist. In fact, Israel has *more* than the right to exist. Under Talmudic law, Israel actually has the right to FAR more power than it currently has. An examination of the Talmud and what it teaches us about the rightful place of Israel can be very enlightening and eye-opening to those of us who are not yet aware of how Talmudic law works.

Studying the Talmud is currently something associated almost exclusively with Orthodox Judaism and Conservative Judaism. That's a shame, because all Jews – including the most ultra-reform Jews – have much to learn from the Talmud. Again, the Talmud is the foundation of all Jewish beliefs. How important is the Talmud? So important, in fact, that Israel is now basing much of its legal system on the Talmud.

A Jewish state fully endorses the use of the Jewish Torah and the Talmud as the laws of the land. Last year, as part of a series of moves designed to make Israel's Jewish status crystal clear, Benjamin Netanyahu publicly stated that he aims to make Israel's legal system based on the Talmud, as it should be. In Netanyahu's own words to the Likud party's ultra-Orthodox member Yaakov Vider: "We will legally define the Talmud as the basis of the Israeli legal system."

As the Jewish state, Israel's Jewish essence must be felt in every single aspect of Israeli life. The Talmud is the divine law of the Jewish people, so it's essential that it also be the law of Israel – the Jewish state. Regardless of one's feelings about Netanyahu, his commitment to following Talmudic law and cementing Israel's Jewish status is truly something to be admired.

However, by acknowledging the idea of "Palestinian land," Netanyahu is betraying the Talmud and Talmudic law. If there is one term that I truly cannot stand to hear, it's the term "Palestinian land." Talking to other people in the Jewish community, I often hear the term "Palestinian land" thrown about, and it always deeply irks me. Any Jew who speaks of "Palestinian land" clearly has a very deep misunderstanding of Jewish law. The Talmud makes it very clear that all land belongs to Jews, and that Jews may seize any land that they so desire. Schulchan Aruch, Choszen Hamiszpat 348: "All property of other nations belongs to the Jewish nation, which, consequently, is entitled to seize upon it without any scruples." This is directly from the Talmud. Why, then, should the "Palestinians" be entitled to any land? Why should they even be allowed to exist?

In the words of top Israeli Rabbi Ovadia Yosef, "Goyim were born only to serve us. Without that, they have no place in the world; only to serve the People of Israel." Likewise, Rabbi Ya'acov Perin has publicly stated: "One million Arabs are not worth a Jewish fingernail." Shocking? It shouldn't be. This is Talmudic law as well. The Talmud makes it very clear that the life of a non-Jew has no value, and that gentiles exist only to serve Jews. Sanhedrin 59a: "Murdering Goyim is like killing a wild animal." Abodah Zara 26b: "Even the best of the Gentiles

should be killed." Baba Neria 114, 6: "The Jews are human beings, but the nations of the world are not human beings but beasts." Midrasch Talpioth, p. 225-L: "Jehovah created the non-Jew in human form so that the Jew would not have to be served by beasts. The non-Jew is consequently an animal in human form, and condemned to serve the Jew day and night."

This is directly from the Talmud, and these are just a few of many examples. Jewish divine law makes it very clear: the "Palestinians" not only have no right to any land, but the "Palestinians" are not even human beings and thus have no right to even live at all. The "Palestinians" are worthless subhuman beasts and vermin. Jews are human beings, but gentiles are subhuman beasts whose only purpose is to serve the people of Israel. The only reason that goyim have to exist is to serve Jews. If goyim cannot serve Jews, then they should be exterminated. We allow Americans, Australians, Canadians, and Europeans to exist because they serve Jews and they serve Israel – and, when they get out of line, we attack them, like we did to the Americans when we sunk their *USS Liberty*. In the words of former Israeli Knesset member Yossi Sarid, "We control US politicians like marionettes." Countries like the US, Sweden, and Australia play valuable roles not only in protecting Israel, but also in serving as dumping grounds (or garbage cans) where Israel can send Sudanese, Syrians, and other subhuman waste who seek asylum in Israel. Multiculturalism in the West has ultimately been of great benefit to the people of Israel, as it allows Israel to ship off invaders to the West rather than having them infiltrate and invade the Jewish state of Israel, thus threatening Israel's Jewish character. Multiculturalism is something that exists strictly for gentiles. It is NOT something that should ever be attempted in Israel. Israel is the Jewish state, and allowing ANY non-Jews into Israel would be unthinkable. This is precisely why, when African baboons come to Israel, they are sterilized, shoved into crude containment facilities, and eventually shipped off to gentile nations like Sweden, Canada, and Australia – as they should be. Their inferior monkey genes are not wanted anywhere in Israel, as they spread nothing but crime, destruction, ignorance, and misery.

Non-Jews have absolutely no place in Israel, and they have absolutely no place attempting to boss Israel around. The life of a non-Jew is disposable, and Jews are entitled to take the lives of non-Jews whenever necessary. Again, the only purpose of non-Jews is to serve Jews. If non-Jews are not able to serve Jews, then, under Talmudic law, they should be exterminated. "Palestinians" do not serve Jews in any way. In fact, "Palestinians" do the exact opposite. "Palestinians" are the single biggest threat to the continued existence of the Jewish state that there is. As such, it's time to stop pretending that "Palestinians" have any rights whatsoever. It's time to deal with the "Palestinians" the exact same way that we would deal with cockroaches, termites, fleas, ticks, and all other parasites: through swift and merciless extermination.

The Talmud clearly states (Bammidber raba c 21 & Jalkut 772): "Every Jew, who spills the blood of the godless (non-Jews), is doing the same as making a sacrifice to God." Isn't it time for a mass sacrifice of ignoble "Palestinian" scum? Isn't it time to cleanse the land of Israel – which rightfully belongs to the Jews – of all inferior subhuman vermin? What we need to do is to

round up all "Palestinian" cockroaches and slaughter them like cattle. We need to take immense pleasure in raping, torturing, and murdering "Palestinians." We need to boil "Palestinians" alive in boiling human feces. We need to take "Palestinian" babies and stomp them to death in front of their parents. We need to cut open pregnant "Palestinian" women, put their fetuses on pikes, and leave the fetus-pikes all over "Palestinian" neighbourhoods. We need to anally rape "Palestinian" women with butcher knives in broad daylight. We need to burst into "Palestinian" hospitals and butcher "Palestinian" newborns right in front of their helpless mothers. We need to stuff pig's heads with explosives and throw the explosive pig heads into "Palestinian" mosques and community centres. We need to take Uzis, bust into "Palestinian" preschools, and slaughter every single "Palestinian" child and teacher inside. We need to mutilate, rape, beat, and torture "Palestinians" in public, while other "Palestinians" watch helplessly. We need to massacre "Palestinian" men, women, and children without any mercy or pity. The Talmud orders us to do so, and any Jew who disagrees has clearly never read and understood the Talmud.

The thing to be done about the "Palestinians" is to KILL them, exterminate them, get rid of them. How do we deal with cockroaches? We don't argue or debate with them. We exterminate them. If we exterminate cockroaches because they destroy the foundations of our houses, why shouldn't we also exterminate "Palestinians," who destroy the foundations of the Jewish state of Israel? Shouldn't "Palestinians" be treated even more harshly than cockroaches, termites, and other smaller parasites? "Palestinians" are, after all, a *much* more powerful and destructive breed of parasite. Whereas cockroaches and termites merely destroy buildings, the "Palestinian" virus threatens to destroy the entire nation of Israel and the Jewish people along with it. Shouldn't we treat the "Palestinian" cancer the same way we would treat any other cancer? Shouldn't the "Palestinian" parasite be swiftly and violently exterminated, the same way we would exterminate cockroaches or termites? The answer is yes, and the Talmud clearly agrees with me. The Talmud says (Coschen hamischpat 425 Hagah 425. 5): "It is the law to kill anyone who denies the Torah. The Christians belong to the denying ones of the Torah." Under Talmudic law, Jews are permitted – and, in fact, *encouraged* – to kill Christians, Muslims, and anyone else who denies the Torah.

The only use that "Palestinians" could possibly serve would be as test material for medical experiments. Normally, we wouldn't be able to conduct medical tests on humans if those tests cause significant pain. But, since "Palestinians" aren't humans, we can cause as much pain as we want to them. We can inject "Palestinian" children with unstable chemicals, we can chop open pregnant "Palestinian" women, we can strip the flesh off of "Palestinian" babies – we can do whatever we want to these worthless subhuman vermin. And, by conducting medical experiments on "Palestinians," we can obtain medical knowledge that will ultimately be useful in providing medical assistance for Jews. Not only that, but it would also be a lot of fun to do. Could there be anything more satisfying than the helpless screams of a "Palestinian" child as it's torn apart by Jewish doctors? I certainly can't imagine anything more enjoyable. The

Talmud (in Yebamoth 98a) clearly says: "All gentile children are animals." Under Talmudic law, "Palestinian" children are not human. They are subhuman beasts and we are thus free to rape them, torture them, and kill them as we please.

I will never condemn ANY act – no matter how cruel or savage – committed against a "Palestinian." The "Palestinians" are inferior subhuman beasts, and are not even worthy of breathing in Jewish air. The life of a "Palestinian" has no more value than the life of a flea or a tick. They are vile, filthy, disgusting, worthless, parasitic, subhuman vermin and they need to be violently purged from the face of the Earth, which rightfully belongs to the Jewish people. We need to hate them, we need to segregate them, we need to discriminate against them, and, most of all, we need to *kill* them. Israel is not going NEARLY far enough in its attempts to wipe out the "Palestinians." We need to have ZERO mercy or pity for these vulgar subhuman parasites. If we do not exterminate the "Palestinians," they will exterminate us. History has taught us again and again that gentiles pose a major risk to the existence of Jews. Have we learned nothing from the Holocaust?

The "Palestinians" could very well perform another Holocaust against the Jewish people if we do not exterminate them first. The Nazis successfully killed six million Jews. The "Palestinians" could kill even more – unless we kill them first. Are we really going to sit back and simply wait for the subhuman "Palestinians" to carry out a new, even deadlier Holocaust against the Jewish people? Is that a risk that you want to take? If not, then it's time to wipe out the "Palestinians" once and for all, and in the most brutal, violent manner possible.

"Palestinians" deserve nothing more than a slow, painful, and agonizing death. There can be no "Palestinian" left alive. We need to utterly exterminate the "Palestinians." Then, once we butcher every single "Palestinian," we need to dig up "Palestinian" graves and burn their bones. Israel needs to focus all of its energy to ensuring that the "Palestinians" are completely and utterly wiped off of the face of the Earth. The only good "Palestinian" is a dead one.

I am sick of hearing "Palestinians" complain about "oppression" and "genocide," even though Israel has never *once* come anywhere close to giving these Arab cockroaches the real genocide that they so richly deserve. These two-faced, terrorist-supporting "Palestinians" NEED to suffer a real genocide, and, if violence against "Palestinians" ever goes viral in Israel (and I know it will), I myself will not hesitate to move to Israel, join the IDF, and take an AR-15 to the nearest mosque, *especially* if on a Muslim holiday like Ramadan. I would love nothing more than to savour the screams of "Palestinians" as I invade their "safe" places and mow them down with advanced Israeli weaponry.

"Palestinians" have proven via their anti-human behaviour to not be humans. We need to legally change the definition of the crime of murder so that killing a "Palestinian" is not murder and carries no penalty (since "Palestinians" are not human). We need to encourage all Jews in Israel to butcher "Palestinians" without any mercy or pity. In fact, we should even give out rewards for people who kill the most "Palestinians." We should hold contests to see who can kill the most "Palestinians" in the shortest amount of time, with money rewards for the best and most effective "Palestinian" killers. We

should offer Jews cash incentives to kill "Palestinians," like, for example, giving out shekels to any Jew who kills "Palestinians," with more shekels being rewarded for more "Palestinians" killed.

Whenever I'm asked how many "Palestinians" Israel has killed, I know the answer instantly: not nearly enough. Until there is not a single "Palestinian" left breathing, that answer will remain the same. "Palestinians" are a plague upon Israeli civilisation, and they're a plague that

needs to be wiped out. My friends, the time to kill "Palestinians" is long overdue. Grab your weapon today and let's take out this "Palestinian" scum. The "Palestinians" are parasites and pigs. It's time to take the pigs to the slaughter. Death to all "Palestinians" and death to anyone who stands in the way of the Jews.

<https://archive.today/bUarE#selection-527.0-553.1>

From: The Ugly Truth [comment-reply@wordpress.com](mailto:reply@wordpress.com)

Sent: Friday, 10 April 2015 1:30 PM

To: toben@toben.biz

Subject: [New post] Hoax blogger posts vile post Times of Israel's blog platform was infiltrated by a malicious imposter

ed note—as much as those 'in the know' in terms of understanding the uglier aspects of Judaism that are generally kept hidden from public view will undoubtedly heap warranted skepticism on the Times of Israel's explanation concerning the Op-ed written by one 'Josh Bornstein,' the fact is nonetheless that it is probably exactly that. When I did a web search of Mr. Bornstein and saw what was his typical Op-ed fare and saw that he never touched on any of the rancid topics covered in this most recent piece, I suspected that something foul was afoot, hence my own 'ed note' throwing out the possibility/likelihood that this was a spoof.

The uglier truth of it all however, and something which every practicing and non-practicing Jew on the planet knows is that every letter, syllable, word, sentence, paragraph and statement contained in the infamous piece is 100% accurate, minus of course the obvious

'sinking' of the USS LIBERTY. Furthermore, even though in this case it may indeed have been a 'hoax', the fact of the matter is that every day, in Israel and in those countries which Israel dominates politically, culturally, economically, etc, etc, etc, 'vile' (the word used in the Times of Israel's dismissal of the piece) sentiments no different from those appearing in the 'hoax' piece are written, spoken, published, broadcast and become the ear-food, eye-food and mind-food of not just millions of people, but indeed billions of people around the world. Visit any neighborhood within the JMSM worldwide and you will see example after example of the very same kind of hatred, racism, blood-lust, etc, being leveled against the Palestinians, the Iranians, the Iraqis, or any other group against which Judea has declared war.

All can be rest assured that organized Jewish interests WORLD WIDE dirtied their collective diapers upon reading this piece, and for the simple reason that—as stated above—they knew that it was absolutely true, A to Z, Alpha to Omega.

On behalf of those of us here at The Ugly Truth—Our hats off to whoever wrote this brilliant piece of expose' journalism and the very clever way they managed to get their point across.

THE TIMES OF ISRAEL

Hoax blogger posts vile post

Times of Israel's blog platform was infiltrated by a malicious imposter

BY TIMES OF ISRAEL STAFF April 10, 2015, 2:08 am [13](#)

The Blogs platform on the *Times of Israel* was breached in an elaborate manner by a malicious hoaxer who on Thursday posted a hateful and racist post.

This hoaxer, it turns out, had opened a blog on the website some weeks ago using the identity of Josh Bornstein, a respected Australian lawyer, appropriating Bornstein's name, biography, photograph and taking steps to lend the blog credibility.

Times of Israel staff had no reason to suspect this was a hoax, also given that half a dozen posts were published on this blog in subsequent days, all on topic and perfectly reasonable. As we found out Thursday, these posts were taken from Bornstein's articles elsewhere on the internet. After establishing his credibility on The Blogs platform, the imposter Thursday published a blog post that was vile and disturbing in the extreme. Shortly after it was published, we removed this blog post and the entire

blog, and began investigating what had transpired. The post had also been copied to an online archive with the obvious intent of causing maximum harm.

We are dismayed that The Times of Israel fell victim to such a malicious and hateful hoax. Needless to say, we are appalled that the Times of Israel's blog platform was taken advantage of in this manner.

We sincerely apologize to everyone who was affected, directly or indirectly. Most especially, we apologize to Josh Bornstein.

We consulted with Bornstein in writing this article, and he asked us to make crystal clear that he had nothing whatsoever to do with this entire episode. "I deplore racism," Bornstein stressed. "I've fought racism since I was four years old."

<http://www.timesofisrael.com/hoax-blogger-posts-vile-post/>

**Subject: RE: De-Judification:
The Process By Which We Will Survive The Jewish Century**

From: Paul V. Sheridan pvsheridan@wowway.com

Sent: Thursday, 9 April 2015 8:21 AM

To: ReportersNotebook@yahoogroups.com

Subject: De-Judification: The Process By Which We Will Survive The Jewish Century

<https://vidrebel.wordpress.com/2015/03/30/de-judification-the-process-by-which-we-will-survive-the-jewish-century/>

"To make sure WW I lasted longer a plan was devised to force the Russians out. Leon Trotsky (Bronstein) was sent with \$20 million in Jacob Schiff's gold together with Jewish radicals from New York. Their ship which had been chartered by the Warburgs and Schiff was stopped by the British at Halifax, Canada on April 3, 1917. Sir William Wiseman and Colonel House pressured the British admiralty into releasing the Communists and their gold. The British military knew the Jewish revolutionaries would attack their ally the Czar and take them out of the war. This would make the war last longer and kill more men. Wilson's adviser Colonel House threatened the British saying that America would refuse to enter the war unless the radicals, their gold and their ship were released. Funds from other Rothschild and Warburg sources were sent to Lenin.

And thus the Jewish Century began. The Jews led by the Rothschilds did get the war to last long enough to overthrow the Russian Czar so they could set up a Soviet dictatorship that killed 60 million plus Gentiles. And have a Jewish colony in Palestine protected by Britain."

<https://vimeo.com/120992089>

From: ReportersNotebook@yahoogroups.com

On Behalf Of Michael Santomauro

RePorterNoteBook@Gmail.com

Sent: Thursday, 9 April 2015 12:02 PM

Subject: De-Judification: The Process By Which We Will Survive The Jewish Century

- add to that the following voices:

From Dr. Toben:

20th-century German philosopher

Martin Heidegger:

The Jews, with their marked gift for calculating, 'live' already for the longest time according to the principle of race, which is why they are resisting its consistent application with utmost violence.

Richard Wagner:

[T]he Jew in truth is already more than emancipated. He rules, and will rule, so long as Money remains the power before which all our doings and our dealings lose their force. That the historical adversity of the Jews and the rapacious rawness of Christian-German potentates have brought this power within the hands of Israel's sons needs no argument of ours to prove. . . . That this has also brought the public Art-taste of our time between the busy fingers of the Jew, however, is the matter whose ground we have to consider somewhat closerBut emancipation from the yoke of Judaism appears to us the greatest of necessities; we must hold it weighty above all to prove our forces for this war of liberation.

Winifred Wagner in 1975:

I shall never disavow my friendship with [Hitler]; I cannot do it. . . . I am able, I mean, perhaps no one understands, but I am able completely to separate the Hitler I knew from what he is accused of these days. . . . The part of him I know, so to speak, I treasure as much today as before. If Hitler came in the door today, for example, I would be just as pleased and happy as ever to see him and to have him here.

Fredrick Toben in 2014:

It is the Wagnerian success at extricating himself from Jewish thought structures that Winifred Wagner clearly understood and valued in Adolf Hitler. It is the same thing which has led me to conclude that Adolf Hitler was one of the greatest freedom fighters of the 20th century.

Wilhelm Marr in 1879:

The same goal, disintegration of the Germanic state for the benefit of Jewish interests, is pursued consistently everywhere.

The daily press is predominantly in Jewish hands, which have transformed journalism into an object of speculation and industrial production, into a business with public opinion; critique of theater, of art in general, is to three quarters in the hands of Jews. Writing about politics and even religion is in Jewish hands. Let us think about it for a moment.

Once emancipation had been won, instinct demanded that it be consolidated and reinforced. This could only be achieved by using the press and unionism. Consequently, Jewry flooded into both like high tide. It acted like it was extraordinarily intellectual and free of bias. It went as far as engaging in sarcastic irony of self; but while the highly gifted E. Dohm offered the most precious jokes about Israel in Kladdaradatsch, it turned out that it was not advisable for a non-Jew to do the same.

Using the word Knoblauch ["garlic"] sufficed to accuse us Teutons of being religious haters.....

*....Just try to comment upon Jewish rituals and statutes. You will find that not even the Pope is more infallible and unimpeachable. To comment upon their rituals is "hatred", but if the Jew takes it upon himself to pronounce the last word in our religious and state affairs, then it is quite a different matter. - p.20 Footnote *) Not being of any religious denomination has helped me but little. When at the beginning of the sixties, upset about the consequences of Jewish emancipation, I fought in my "Judenspiegel" (Hamburg, Otto Meissner) passionately but impartially against the Judaizing of society, a gale against me arose, as though the band of Jericho had been reinforced by a thousand trumpets. An attempt was made to push me out of "Journalism" and even today I am unable to utter an independent thought on any question whatsoever in the Judaized press. I was put down as a common religious fanatic crying "Hepp-Hepp" even though every line in my "Judenspiegel" demonstrated the opposite. I had stirred up a hornet's nest. But -- let's not speak of myself -- where would Richard Wagner have been without the assistance of the King of Bavaria? Has there ever lived an artist who was more the object of attack by Jewry than Wagner? I am not referring to the musical experts who opposed him, but of the pack of scribblers and theater hounds, who did*

not forgive him for his views of Judaism, even if these might have been mistaken.

And from among the very same people in Israel, who had complained about the "lack of melody" in "Tannhäuser" and "Lohengrin", a crowd rendered homage to him in Bayreuth -- yes in Bayreuth --, to play even there the first violin, just as it is "the custom in Israel", after Wagner had, in spite of it all forged his way.

-- Even here there were two or three exceptions, Jews who had from the start shown friendly sentiments for the master's work, but it is the exception which makes the rule. These are all historical-cultural facts, so unique in their aspects, so awesome, that it is impossible to dispute them using everyday polemics.

The proud Roman Empire has not been able to win such triumphs with all the might of its arms, as has typical Semitism won in the Occident and particularly in Germany. **Among all the European states only Russia is left to still resist the frank foreign invasion.** The most recent example of coming action against this last bulwark was set by Jewry in the case of Rumania. As current events and circumstances indicate the final surrender of Russia is only a question of time. In this multifaceted, huge state Jewry will find the cardinal point which it needs, to completely unhinge the Western world.

- The Victory of Judaism over Germanism, Viewed from a Nonreligious Point of View, by Wilhelm Marr. Vae Victis! Eighth Edition, Bern, Rudolph Costenoble 1879.

From: Paul V. Sheridan pvsheridan@wowway.com

Sent: Saturday, 11 April 2015 2:00 AM

To: 'Fredrick Toben'

Cc: reporternotebook@gmail.com

Subject: RE: De-Judification: The Process By Which We Will Survive The Jewish Century - add to that the following voices:

Thank you Fredrick.

Referencing Winifred Wagner in 1975:

http://pvsheridan.com/Ja_oder_nien.mp4

Complete transcript of above here:

Yes, Germany was back then a democracy, before us (The Third Reich), and we have been plundered and squeezed dry.

No . . . what does democracy or authoritarian state mean to those international hyenas? They don't care at all. They are only interested in one thing: Are you willing to be plundered? Yes or no? Are you stupid enough to keep quiet in the process? Yes or no? And when a democracy is stupid enough not to stand up, then it is good. But when an authoritarian state declares, 'You do not plunder our people any longer . . .' neither from the inside nor the outside, then that is bad.

In reality, money rules in these countries ("democracies").

They talk about press freedom, when in fact all these newspapers have one owner, and the owner is, in any case, the sponsor. This press shapes then public opinion. These political parties do not have any differences at all, like before with us (post WWI Germany). You already know the old political parties. They were all the same.

Then these people must think that especially in these countries ("democracies") of freedom and wealth; it should exist, a very comfortable life for its people. But the opposite is the case . . .

In these countries, in the so-called democracies, its people is by no means the main focus of attention. What really matters is this group of 'democracy makers.' That is, the existence of a few hundred of giant capitalists who own all the factories and shares and who, ultimately, lead the people. They are not interested at all in the great mass of people. They are the only ones who can be addressed as international elements because they conduct their business everywhere. It is a small, rootless international clique that is turning the people against each other, that does not want them to have peace.

They can suppress us. They can kill us if you like, But we will not capitulate!

For the sake of the German Volk (people), Hail victory!

These Jews used to laugh about it-these idiots. They thought it was a joke. They are not laughing anymore. Today they realize the gravity of the situation - blutiger Ernst.

It was a struggle, especially against the people who seemed to have omnipotent power in our nation - the struggle against the Jews; a Satanic power had taken over our whole country that had been able to grip key positions of intellectual and spiritual life, but also of the political and economic life. And from these key positions they were able to control and monitor the whole nation. This power had, at the same time, the influence to persecute, even with the law, those who fought against this power, and those who were willing to oppose the advance of it.

Almighty Jewry thus declared war on us. And what have we achieved in these years until 1939! Their envy grew in the same way, in the same men who, back then, got Germany into World War One. Mr Churchill began immediately to agitate. Then Mr Eden, and then of course the Jews, with Mr Hore Belisha at the forefront. The smear campaign began, year after year . . . They said, 'Yes, he (Adolf Hitler) is arming himself.' Yes, I have always done that. They also asked me before, 'Why do you have then the SA, if you want peace? Why did you (Adolf Hitler) create the SS, when, however, the only thing you want is fraternity?' Because there are people who do not want it! And I wanted to show them, that I was prepared too for the other case.

The most precious possession you have in the world is your own people. And for this people, and for the sake of this people, we will struggle and fight. And never slacken. And never tire. And never lose courage. And never despair!

Robert FAURISSON

5 avril 2015

Illustration par une vidéo du total échec de Raul Hilberg, l'éminent historien de « l'Holocauste »

« [Robert Faurisson et le Consensus Mind Reading de Raul Hilberg](#) » : cette étonnante vidéo est signée de Soraj (avec un « j »).

On le sait, le juif américain Raul Hilberg (1926-2007) est

unanimement tenu pour représenter la plus haute autorité en matière d'histoire de « l'Holocauste ». Son œuvre majeure s'intitule **The Destruction of the European Jews**. En 1961, dans la première édition

de son ouvrage, à la page 177, il avait osé écrire qu'il avait existé deux ordres de Hitler d'exterminer physiquement les juifs mais, curieusement, à l'appui d'une affirmation aussi péremptoire il n'avait fourni aucune référence, aucun libellé de ces ordres, aucune date.

Par la suite, dans la première moitié des années 1980, il avait reconnu que « Faurisson et d'autres » l'avaient obligé à s'engager dans de nouvelles recherches. Il avait exactement déclaré :

Je dirai que, d'une certaine manière, Faurisson et d'autres, sans l'avoir voulu, nous ont rendu service. Ils ont soulevé des questions qui ont eu pour effet d'engager les historiens dans de nouvelles recherches. Ils nous ont obligés à rassembler davantage d'informations, à réexaminer les documents et à aller plus loin dans la compréhension de ce qui s'est passé (propos recueillis par Guy Sitbon in « Les Archives de l'horreur », *Le Nouvel Observateur*, 3-9 juillet 1982, p. 71 A).

De ses nouvelles recherches qui, comme il l'admet, lui ont été inspirées par « Faurisson et d'autres », R. Hilberg allait finalement conclure qu'on ne trouvait décidément aucune preuve de l'existence d'un ordre d'exterminer les juifs. Selon sa nouvelle thèse, il n'y avait eu aucun ordre en ce sens, aucun programme, aucun budget, aucune instruction écrite : RIEN. Sa nouvelle explication était la suivante : au sein de cette partie de la « vaste bureaucratie » allemande qui était en charge du règlement de la question juive, il s'était lentement produit une évolution spontanée consistant, pour ce qui était de la destruction physique des juifs, à remplacer l'écrit par l'oral et, du coup, ces bureaucrates s'étaient mis à prendre et à communiquer entre eux leurs funestes décisions « [par une incroyable rencontre des esprits, une transmission de pensée consensuelle](#) » (« *by an incredible meeting of minds, a consensus-mind reading* »).

C'est de cette aberrante explication que l'ingénieux Soraj a entendu se moquer en procédant à la confection d'une vidéo de son cru où il a savamment combiné des extraits de trois sources audiovisuelles : 1) la vidéo réalisée en octobre 2010 et publiée en septembre 2011 par Paul-Eric Blanrue où la parole est donnée à « [Un homme : Robert Faurisson](#) » ; 2) la vidéo « [Historiascopie](#) » du 11 novembre 2014 où l'on entend Mérée Drante ponctuer de rires ou de moqueries la lecture par R. Faurisson de certains extraits de ***La Destruction des Juifs d'Europe*** dans sa seconde version, celle de 1985 ; 3) des bribes de la série américaine ***Hogan's Heroes*** (1965-1971) où, en Allemagne, dans un camp de prisonniers de guerre, le « malin » colonel américain Robert Hogan se paie la tête de ses gardiens teutons : l'incompétent colonel Klink et l'inepte sergent Papa Schultz.

Soraj mérite nos compliments : par un véritable tour de force vidéoscopique et en n'utilisant que d'authentiques citations il est parvenu à nous convaincre que le plus

prestigieux des historiens de « l'Holocauste » en a été réduit, pour expliquer sa thèse de l'extermination des juifs, à des expédients tout juste dignes de Papa Schultz et du colonel Klink, tous deux si bêtes mais si convaincus de leur propre intelligence et de leur propre sens de « la transmission de pensée consensuelle » (voyez les impayables mimiques).

Or, répétons-le, pas un historien de la prétendue destruction des juifs d'Europe ne jouit, encore aujourd'hui, d'un prestige comparable à celui de R. Hilberg.

Ce dernier entendait servir l'histoire mais, en réalité, à force de spéculations fumeuses et irrationnelles, il aura ouvert la voie à une croyance de nature religieuse. En ce sens, il aura été à la source de cette « religion de l'Holocauste », qui aujourd'hui dicte sa loi et paralyse chez trop d'auteurs la recherche de la simple exactitude historique.

Mais cette loi et cette paralysie n'auront qu'un temps. A bien des signes déjà on note que la foi en « l'Holocauste » tend à se perdre chez les historiens. Prochainement je le démontrerai dans une analyse portant sur le 70^e anniversaire de la « libération d'Auschwitz » et, en particulier, sur une étonnante livraison du journal ***Le Monde***, celle du 28 janvier 2015. Intitulée « Auschwitz à l'épreuve des générations », elle contient un éditorial alarmant contre « les négationnismes » et un ensemble de quatorze articles répartis sur dix pages mais où le mot de « gaz » n'apparaît qu'une seule fois dans des flots de rhétorique purement verbale. La semaine précédente, parmi d'autres articles holocaustiques, celui de Maurice Lévy, président du groupe Publicis, se signalait par l'inquiétude du personnage devant la levée d'un « tabou » (sic) qui protégeait les juifs (« Face à l'antisémitisme, le choix du départ doit rester personnel », 21 janvier 2015, p. 12). Pour lui, l'antisémitisme avait disparu à la fin de la Seconde guerre mondiale avec la découverte de « la Shoah » mais il avait ensuite repris vie, sous une forme nouvelle, au début des années 1980 avec le « négationnisme » (allusion, une fois de plus, dans l'honorable journal, à sa « [bourde monumentale](#) » [ayant consisté à publier Faurisson](#)). « Le roi de la pub » nous le dit en sa prose : « [...] la seconde guerre mondiale et la Shoah débouchèrent sur un consensus social et un tabou. Ce dernier a perduré jusqu'aux années 1980, avant de se fracasser [sic] sur la diffusion des thèses négationnistes. Un nouvel antisémitisme libéré s'est alors engouffré dans la brèche ; celui d'une minorité de jeunes issus de l'immigration ».

Comme il m'est arrivé de l'écrire, « il y a décidément de plus en plus d'eau dans le gaz et de mou dans la corde à nœuds ». S'il revenait à la vie, R. Hilberg n'en serait peut-être pas tellement surpris. En 1985, lors d'un procès long de sept semaines intenté à Toronto au révisionniste Ernst Zündel, il s'était exprimé en expert au nom de l'accusation : mal lui en avait pris. Les experts

révisionnistes, dont la compétence avait étonné la presse canadienne, l'avaient emporté haut la main. A telle enseigne que, trois ans plus tard, en 1988, lors d'un nouveau procès intenté au même Zündel, [R. Hilberg à nouveau sollicité avait refusé de revenir](#) à la barre. L'avocat de Zündel, Doug Christie, le « Battling Barrister », avait exigé et obtenu communication de la

lettre de refus, une lettre édifiante : R. Hilberg y confessait sa peur d'affronter une nouvelle fois « Faurisson et d'autres ».

Le « total échec » du plus prestigieux historien de « l'Holocauste » ne fait qu'annoncer le « total échec », qui surviendra tôt ou tard, de la doctrine de « l'Holocauste » lui-même.

BRANDON MARTINEZ

Grand Deceptions: Zionist Intrigue in the 20th and 21st Centuries

A review by Fredrick Töben – 10 April 2015



Only yesterday a young enquiring student asked me to clarify the problem that emerges when someone asserts something to be a truth and the rejoinder is, 'That's only your opinion!'

I attempted to clarify this matter by pointing out that in a court case a witness or an accused person is solemnly sworn or affirmed to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, which also activates the concept of "lying by omission".

Then there is the legal sophistry that develops especially made transparent since [Elizabeth Loftus](#) has so clearly illustrated how "false memories" can be created. Holocaust Revisionists can fill books on this topic where so-called witnesses have literally lied through their teeth while recounting their horror stories. The [classic](#) currently doing the rounds is [Herman Rosenblatt's](#) who died on 5 February 2015: "It wasn't a lie. It was my imagination, and in my mind, in my imagination I believed it ...Yes, it's not true, but in my imagination it was true!" Of interest is that anyone asserting something to be a proven lie, which such Holocaust liars have openly propagated as a truth, will be legally prosecuted for defaming the memory of the dead or hurting someone's feelings. The old adage comes to mind: The truth hurts! The Scholastics attempted to explain this move from the physical to the mental world, from the particular to the universal, by postulating how many angels fit on a pinhead. I point to engineers who fiddle-the-books by cutting corners in, for example, bridge constructions. In time the material deficiency thus created will cause structures to collapse – and numerous examples can be found where such building constructions have collapses because theoretical scientific considerations have been neglected.

While no human made object in the world is perfect, the approximation to theoretical perfection is itself a wonder, and so the abstract concept of truth is more easily understood when we regard its negative aspect – falsehood, lies, deceptions. Accusing anyone of such is defamatory but it is not defamatory if you accuse someone of telling an un-truth!

If we cast our glance at current world politics, then the concept of "spin" looms large. Chomsky's manufactured consent leads to the development of created overarching narratives that have no connection to reality. I think of the official Report written up to explain why Building 7 collapsed in controlled demolition mode, asserting it was office-paper fires that generated enough heat to melt the steel structures, etc.

Such nonsense thinking is reminiscent of the current Climate Change debate that has been going on for over 30 years, beginning with the greenhouse effect-ozone hole premise, then moving into asserting global warming will have a catastrophic effect on low-lying countries. But such countries in the Pacific or Indian Ocean have always had a rough time whenever the seasonal weather responds to the Sun's doings. And now it's Climate Change, which is a fact because the climate on Earth changes all the time as governed by our Sun's behaviour. What is not proven, and this makes up the [Climate Change](#) proponents' argument, is that human activity causes climate change, the so-called [anthropogenic climate change hypothesis](#). There are climate change [sceptics](#), or as some would like to label them: Climate Change Deniers!

The theoretical framework that underpins the premises of anything asserted, is often constructed with the most honest intentions and universal concerns, and so evil or corrupt intentions do not always motivate those pushing a cause. Still, whenever anything is asserted, and especially when public funding is sought, then it is quite legitimate to ask the basic timeless question: Cui Bono – in whose interest is it to adopt a program?

The title of Brandon Martinez's book, *Grand Deceptions: Zionist Intrigue In The 20th and 21st Century*, clearly implies that this question has been asked, that the facts have been investigated and that the conclusion drawn therefrom will be sustained. In other words, he has subjected his investigations to a process of verification/falsification.

Personally I have stated that the 9/11 tragedy was a controlled demolition job and not caused by planes flying into buildings and bringing them down. I have not gone beyond that point and apportioned blame to a specific group of individuals who rigged the towers for demolition

because I do not have the information on who did it. I discount the official version of events, i.e. that a group of Arabic-speaking "terrorists" did the job. What speaks against that is the fact that after the Soviet Union's economic collapse, the global dialectic that controlled political discourse needed to be re-set, and the new enemy was framed into an abstraction: "terrorism".

Now, first things first: the Form:

This 180-page paperback book has an attractive rather striking front cover, an image of the twin towers behind the title and a photo of Israel's PM Netanyahu and Paul Wolfowitz in pensive mood beneath the title.

At the top of the back-cover is a summary of what the book is all about:

Grand Deception is a concise study exploring

**** Israeli involvement in the 9/11 attacks, and the fraud of the "war on terror."***

**** The Zionist role in dragging the US into wars on Iraq and other Muslim nations.***

**** Their role in fomenting World Wars I and II, in order to seize Palestine.***

**** Their extensive collusion with Nazis, and key role in Bolshevik bloodshed.***

**** How the victors distorted World War II history for their aims of reshaping Europe and the Middle East.***

Then follows a picture background of the burning and exploding towers with highlighted quotations in the foreground from Jews who almost joyously celebrated the significance the 9/11 tragedy brought to Israel. It includes the well-known one from Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu:

"We are benefiting from one thing, and that is the attack on the Twin Towers and Pentagon ...these events swung American public opinion in our favour."

Both the illustrated front and back covers thus effectively focus and suggest that the story of 9/11 has been a Jewish-Zionist exercise.

On the back of the title page appear the technical details. The publisher is *ProGRESSive Independent Media* – www.ProgressivePress.com San Diego, California, and the book is printed in the USA – ISBN 9781615778416.

And again there is another concise summary of what the book's aim is:

Grand Deception is a short, concise study of Israeli involvement in the 9/11 attacks, Zionist influence over US foreign policy (particularly the central role of pro-Israel neoconservatives in dragging the US into the war in Iraq), the fraud of the post-9/11 "war on terror," and other Zionist political intrigues in the 20th and 21st centuries. This book explores the role of Zionists in helping foment World Wars I and II as a means of establishing a Jewish state in Palestine, the extensive collusion between Nazis and Zionists, the hidden history of bloody Bolshevism, and the fabrications and distortions of official World War II historiography designed to advance the victors' post-war agenda of re-shaping Europe and the Middle East.

The Contents page lists an Introduction, 11 Chapters, a Conclusion and End Notes – and there are 474 of them. The book has no INDEX, which seems to be a pattern followed in today's publishing market. After all, speed

readers skim through the Index to see whose name appears in the book and whose name has been left out. It is a short-cut to gaining a quick overview of where the author is going!

And now I'll begin with the CONTENT itself by reading these 50,000 words that came my way for US\$13.95, and to find out whether Brandon Martinez's reflections on this contentious and provocative topic fulfil my expectations.

Before I do, though, just a brief explanation where I am coming from in this topic. My basic premise on 9/11 is that the buildings were brought down by controlled demolition, which contradicts the official conspiracy theory that a group of Arabic-speaking "terrorists" did it with planes. Holding on to this basic premise has already caused me to experience social exclusion because many individuals in Australia, who have a vague notion of global politics and with whom a conversation about such matters is possible, always follow the party line as expressed by the government and disseminated in the major newspapers.

Canadian Brandon Martinez would be well aware of this kind of social pressure surrounding the topic that immediately impacts on relationships because he has, of course, a history of focusing on Zionist matters, and few readers would not have heard of his brilliant *Zion Crime Factory* website and how some religious fundamentalists caused him grief.

When such matters arise for a young man whose mind is active, perceptive and desirous of finding the truth of a matter, then alarm bells start to ring and the quest to make sense of such sabotage from within gives the impulse to distrust anyone and then to also expect deceptions from the "enemy within one's own camp".

William Blake teaches us that as individuals move from innocence to experience this process can indeed be a painful learning process, and little can be gained from embracing the "bitch-goddess of change", that favourite saying of Ronald Conway who also pointed out how in today's instant communications climate we do indeed need some obsessive quality, which help us to be heard.

Most western democracies run on the concept of "change", which ties in nicely with the mindset of the western democratic ex-Marxists who, once the Soviet Union imploded in December 1991, developed attitudes and policies that we now term Political Correct democratic policies.


Few realize there is a massive deficiency thinking impulse embedded in this "change" concept. One of Marx's famous dictum was that philosophers have interpreted the world differently and that the task for Marxists was thus to change the world, i.e. establish the dictatorship of the working class, etc. Any basic reflection on this point soon enables individuals to see through the deception because "changing the world" implies philosophical reflection, and as in the "freedom" cry,

where it is never clarified: freedom from what?, and freedom for what? So, too, with the “change” concept – from and for what?

In Brandon Martinez’s book I shall be interested to see if he succeeds in establishing to what degree Zionist INTRIGUE has become a GRAND DECEPTION.

Now to the Content

During the 1980s one of my academic colleagues was Dr George Zollschan, originally from Vienna, educated in the USA but who had not lost his heavy German accent. He would regularly advise his students: ‘I am an Amerikan Jew’. During one of our many conversations he came up with the observation, ‘any publicity is better than none’, which had me thinking about it for some time.



I note in the book at page 148 there is the Haaretz 30 October 2014 cartoon depicting Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu piloting the 9/11 plane into the Twin Tower. Such “controversial” item certainly is used by some as “proof”, or even as a “confession” of guilt.

I see this kind of propaganda exercise as something akin to lying not by omission but by “admission” – and then you move on, or as Gordon Duff would say: ‘Keep the ball rolling’, i.e. you tell the truth but then continue to deny a matter because you have the political power to move on and continue to distract and to deflect from any consequences of a moral, social and legal nature that may arise as a result of such admissions.

Martinez clearly illustrates this method of control by adopting the position that “the Israeli tail is wagging the American dog”, and he cites enough sources to prove his case, in particular citing James Petras and others who clearly trace the Israeli power structures operating within the USA through global financial, economic and cultural institutions that have made the USA a “Zionist stronghold”.

Likewise, Martinez traces the development of a powerful Zionist influence in George W Bush’s presidency that now somewhat legendary “Neocon” group, which engineered the Iraq wars as a result of their expressed agenda: militarism and war – and “noble lies” and “axis of evil”, and “Iraqi troops removing babies from incubators in Kuwait”, and “weapons of mass destruction”, etc.

That the Neocons’ “new Pearl Harbour” theoretical ground work had been done a year before 9/11

happened, is no surprise, and Martinez convincingly documents such in detail. Not surprisingly, it is not really possible to talk about a conspiracy as such because it certainly appears from the quoted sources that for those who informed themselves the information was out there for all to read. As soon as the 9/11 tragedy unfolded the world media was immediately saturated with the newly imposed dialectic that replaced the old one of western democracy versus Communism: the war on terrorism and the war on Islam.



The focus on Osama bin Laden backfired when on 28 September 2001 in a Pakistani newspaper he “vehemently denied any involvement”, and he was soon to die of kidney failure. But that didn’t stop the myth makers to pursue him and “kill” him at Abbottabad on 2 May 2011, and scattered his ashes in the North Arabian Sea, which is another one of those fanciful nonsense propaganda items of which Holocaust Revisionists are so painfully aware – the mythbusters rely on structures such as that of the “Donation of Constantine”, which inevitably are exposed as outright fabrications, deception and lies.

The Question that always yields good results is: Cui Bono – in whose interest? That is why there are pedagogical interests that attempt to impose a negative moral code on the asking of questions: you must ask the right question to get the right result! By uninhibited questioning it is possible to elicit “evidence of foreknowledge or proof of planning” of events.

I did not know that the US embassy building in Nairobi, Kenya, was built by Israel and had once been its embassy building. And so when it was bombed on 7 August 1998 reports in *The Spotlight* stated that Mossad succeeded in persuading the CIA and the White House to ignore their own reports, which four months before the event warned of such an attack.

Martinez flawlessly narrates the connections that exist between such terrible events and Israel’s interests in staging such false flags events. He quotes the following *American Free Press* video featuring Greg Felton whose book, *Exploding Middle East Myth*, asserts that Israel “has no moral, political or historical legitimacy. It exists solely because it terrorized the world into approving its

existence – like a man riding a tiger – can't get off because he will be killed...". So Israel needs to continue to terrorise the world and kill and it cannot stop doing this because as soon as it does it will cease to exist -

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c50pnnYQjww>

[Uploaded on Jan 15, 2012. Canadian author Greg Felton of *The Host & The Parasite* fame, discusses his new book, *Exploding Middle East Myths: 15 years of Fighting Zionist Propaganda*. Felton has assembled a myth-smashing collection of essays targeting media cover-up and misinformation and misdirection about Israel and the problems of the Middle East and has laid bare the truth about the blunt political force, blackmail and intimidation that Israel and its network use to achieve their aims. In the interview, Felton explains that the only way to get America back on its feet is to "de-Zionize" it.]

Chapter 6 The Grand Deception: Israel and 9/11, is the largest chapter in the book of 40 pages and five sub-sections: Framing Palestinians, Al-Qaeda Mythology, The 19 Hijackers: Villains or Patsies?, Zionist and the World Trade Centre, and Federal Stooges.

Martinez succeeds in clearly illustrating how the preparation for the 9/11 tragedy began by Mossad planting information in the media that pointed to an imminent terrorist threat and linking it to Osama bin Laden and to the Iraq government. With hindsight it almost becomes painfully clear the effect such "Zionist inversion of reality" has on ordinary citizens relying on conventional media outlets for their information.

The five Israelis briefly detained by police had foreknowledge of the event because they called it a "terrorist attack" while police were still regarding the matter as an accident.

This reminds me of the 24 March 2015 Germanwings Airbus A320, flying from Barcelona to Düsseldorf, and crashing in the French Alps where an immediate headline in the [Huffington Post](#), quoting Reuters, read: **White House: Germanwings Crash Doesn't Appear To Be A Terror Attack** **Posted: 03/24/2015 12:53 pm EDT Updated: 03/24/2015 2:59 pm EDT.**

On 25 March 2015 [The Sydney Morning Herald](#) went further and quoted a French politician in its headline: **Germanwings crash: Terrorism unlikely as cause of plane crash, says interior minister Bernard Cazeneuve.**

This method of disseminating public disinformation, of sidetracking from the issue, is only possible where there is a massive bending to Jewish pressure, which itself is a barometer of a society's healthy self-esteem. Most of the so-called western democracies have little or none at all, which suits those who wish to corporatize and internationalise all nation states, except the bolt-hole for the criminally active called Israel.

The new global dialectic set by the 9/11 operation fulfilled its dual purpose of setting the western democracies against Islam and securing Israeli freedom to continue the ethnic cleansing of Palestine.

Martinez illuminates the technique of closing down investigations surrounding the 9/11 tragedy by referencing incident after incident thus:

If the suspects apprehended with the truck bomb near the G.W. Bridge were in fact Arabs or Muslims, the mass media would have been jumping all over themselves to cover the story. Furthermore, it would have fit with the official fiction of an al-Qaeda-directed operation against America. The fact that the incident is not mentioned in any of the official 9/11 reports issued by the US government is proof of a cover-up. The only possible reason that this incident needs to be covered up is that the people involved were not al-Qaeda operatives, but were, in all likelihood, Israelis.

He goes on to quote sources that link the CIA with Mossad in "operation cyclone" that forced the Soviet Union out of Afghanistan, then relates how once this was achieved, the US turned against its former collaborators.

So the closing down of investigations and the setting of a new dialectic is the pattern of power used by the USA and Israel in order to "keep the global ball rolling". Such a planting of narratives, of course, had its factual equivalent in the planting of evidence to prove the veracity of the overarching narrative that made up the official conspiracy of 9/11.

There is, of course, also the Mossad method of faking passports and murdering those deemed to be standing in the way of Jewish interests. This included the numerous Israeli art students who flooded the western world in an information gathering exercise.

I worried when Martinez quoted Gordon Thomas's *Gideon's Spies: The Secret History of Mossad*, because I find it a little odd that this author managed to spend a couple of years in Mossad's archives to emerge with a book full of dirty Mossad secrets. I recall at an AFP conference how Thomas let himself be upset by my making a simple statement. When he gave his address, he went to great lengths to characterize the Mossad chief as a fearsome, frightening man. "You would be fearful of him", to which I interjected, "I wouldn't be", and Thomas seemed to lose his composure and challenged me: "Do you want to take over?"

Back to the 9/11 event and the question asked by Martinez: "Why has the FBI relentlessly pursued bogus clues leading to Arabs, but consistently ignored legitimate inferences pointing to Israelis?"

Well – why?

The ADL's own claim speaks for itself:

As the foremost non-governmental authority on domestic terrorism, extremism, organized hate groups and hate crimes, ADL has been the leading non-governmental organization training police. In 2010 alone, we trained more than 10,500 law enforcement officers from all over the country.

We may as well add the fact that it is mandatory for all trainees of FBI, US Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Washington, DC Police Department, Philadelphia Police Department, etc, to attend the "Lessons of the Holocaust".

And therewith a large portion of international discourse is effectively controlled at the local and regional level. Add to that a blatant and open quest to control the discourse

on the Internet, it does not surprise that Jews have set out to control what material is published on Wikipedia. There is no worry about global media outlets, such as Rupert Murdoch's, not following the Jewish Israeli Zionist party line.

There is also the ideological weapon that combines the Holocaust dogma and Zionist militarism, and Martinez quotes Kevin Barrett:

The Israelis are fanatical extremists who feel that they are being persecuted everywhere they go and that they have to be extremely harsh, unyielding and aggressive, as well as deceptive and violent with the world. They have a terrible track record of extreme deception, lies and extreme violence... They're ruthless, they're psychopathic and they are the most likely country in the world to use nuclear weapons.

Martinez surmises:

The neocons, steeped in self-serving propaganda about Jewish victimhood, based their entire foreign policy advocacy not on what is good for the United States, but rather on what is good for the Israeli garrison state. The neocons are, in effect, opportunistically using the US as a geopolitical guardian to protect Israel from any possible threat to its continued dominance in the Middle East....

And he predicts:

The holocaust dogma in its present form cannot be sustained.

And, among other notable facts about matters Holocaust, he lists thirteen examples quoted in Don Heddesheimer's ***The First Holocaust: Jewish Fund Raising Campaigns with Holocaust Claims During and After World War One***, of six million suffering and persecuted Jews, concluding that the six million claim has become an effective marketing strategy.

In *Chapter 9: Nazi-Zionist Collusion*, Martinez recounts the usually uncontested narrative surrounding the pre-war collaboration between the Zionists and the National Socialist – he erroneously still labels the Germans NAZIS – and he does stress the fanatical Jewish supremacism that fuelled the Zionist movement's quest to settle in the Promised Land by quoting from Rabbi Harry Waton's 1939 book: *A Program for the Jews*:

...the Jews have a right to subordinate to themselves the rest of mankind and to be masters over the whole earth ... this is the historic destiny of the Jews ...The Jews should realize that Jehovah no longer dwells in heaven, but he dwells in us right here on earth; we must no longer look up to Jehovah as above us and outside of us, but we must see him right within us.

Still, it could have been mentioned ever so briefly that there is a massive gulf between the fundamentals of the Judaic and the Germanic world view/Weltanschauung; the former uses the Talmudic/Marxist death dialectic while the latter uses the Hegelian life-giving dialectic.

This, however is a topic too large to deal with in this volume, and so I did not expect Martinez to elaborate on it here.

That the Anglo-American-Soviet crimes of World War Two, especially, are effectively whitewashed by the

"homicidal gas chambers" allegations against the German people, is a given fact which the Hollywood Holocaust "movie mayhem has cast a spell over the collective consciousness of the Western world." Martinez points out that the phrase, *The Final Solution*, was used as early as the 1890s by Jews themselves who were then agitating for a homeland so as to solve the Jewish Question/Problem.

In contrast, the Jew Theodore Kaufman, writes Martinez: **authored and published a genocidal tome calling for the elimination of the whole German people through a forced sterilisation program and the dissolution of the German state. Scholar Kevin Barrett noted that Kaufman's genocide manifesto, titled *Germany Must Perish!*, 'makes Meine Kampf look like a Mother Theresa homily by comparison'. In the text Kaufman called for a "final solution" of German extinction, shamelessly articulating his desire to eliminate every last German man, woman and child from the earth.**

He quotes Kaufman at length:

This dynamic volume outlines a comprehensive plan for the extinction of the German nation and the total eradication from the earth of all her people...Also contained herein is a map illustrating the possible territorial dissection of Germany and the apportionment of her lands...A final solution: Let Germany be policed forever by an international armed force? Even if such a huge undertaking were feasible life itself would not have it so. As war begets war, suppression begets rebellion. Undreamed horrors would unfold. Thus we find that there is no middle course; no act of mediation, no compromise to be compounded, no political or economic sharing to be considered. There is, in fine, no other solution except one: That Germany must perish forever from this earth!

Martinez cites historian Charles Lutton, who in turn cites from Nicolai Tolstoy's *Stalin's Secret War*:

Soviet cruelty far outstripped that of National Socialism.... Torture in the USSR was (and is) employed on a mass scale as an important punitive means of overawing a resentful population ... confirmed the German view that Bolshevik Russia was irredeemably savage and backward.

Much of this is also confirmed in Mark Elliott's book ***Pawns of Yalta***, and such knowledge certainly upsets intellectuals such as Australian Peter Myers who still suffers mentally from his early embrace of the Communist ideology, and who cannot face such facts without bringing along, in a desperate attempt to balance the equation, the homicidal gas chamber allegation nonsense. Of course Myers would shy away from perhaps learning more of such degeneracy by reading Estonian author Juri Lina's 1998 published book, ***Under the Sign of the Scorpion. The Rise and the Fall of the Soviet Empire***.

If it is a fact that 80 per cent of the rank-and-file of Cheka officers in the Ukraine was Jewish, then this has implications in today's Ukraine conflict. Many would recall their own 1932 Holodomor, or as Eric Margolis described the genocide as Ukraine's "unknown holocaust".

Martinez continues:

...in 2009 the Ukraine security service drafted a list of Soviet officials responsible for the Holodomor, demanding

they be prosecuted for genocide. The Jewish Telegraph Agency reported that Jewish groups vehemently opposed the motion to pursue a case against the listed communist culprits because "most of the names on the list were Jewish". The Ukrainian Jewish Committee called on state officials to censor the list by removing the names of Jews involved in the genocide, so as to protect Ukraine's Jewish community from "hatred". In 2010, the Israeli politician Shimon Petres visited Ukraine and advanced a similar Orwellian plea, calling on Ukrainians to "forget history".

Martinez concludes the chapter by quoting from Jewish author Sever Plocker who stated that Genrikh Yagoda, the founder of the NKVD was -

... the greatest Jewish murderer of the 20th Century ... many Jews sold their soul to the devil of the Communist revolution and have blood on their hands for eternity.

As I completed reading the brief CONCLUSION of the book I am reminded how just on three weeks ago the US armed forces rumbled through Eastern Europe back to their base in Germany after completing a NATO drill in the Baltic states, as per following video clip:

U.S. tanks parade through Eastern Europe in bizarre PR stunt - Published on Mar 23, 2015

American troops are returning to their base in Germany after NATO military drills in the Baltics. The vehicles are usually transported by rail but U.S. commanders decided to drive the convoy all the way from training grounds in Estonia, Lithuania and Poland to a base in Germany. The ride kicked off on Saturday and will take more than a week. The troops are scheduled to make stops along the way, to boast their heavy metal to the locals. The drills themselves involved 750 US army tanks, helicopters and other military vehicles and around 3,000 US troops. But it's Russian military drills within Russia's own

borders which raised more concern. Why? We asked Asia Times correspondent Pepe Escobar.

"In the Now" with RT's Senior Political correspondent Anissa Naouai is the first dedicated nightly Primetime show to air live out of our Moscow headquarters. Host Anissa Naouai has worked in the field for almost a decade and has reported from over 80 cities across the globe. Now from Monday to Thursday viewers can enjoy fresh, honest, and hard-hitting news coverage on some of the world's most pressing issues with one of RT's most experienced journalists. We'll put the spotlight on stories you'll never hear on mainstream networks or even in RT's daily news bulletins. "In the Now" - 8pm Moscow, 5pm London, 12pm New York. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2VZh9PmKqmU>

In his final summing up of the Zionist problem Brandon Martinez expresses some lofty ideals that give hope to those who are tired of what they have experienced and of what possibly lies before them. He stresses the obvious danger of victimising Jews as a group and points out that there are individual Jews who do not share the secretive nature of Jewish Supremacism. Although the book has a heavy focus on what is happening and what has happened in the Middle East, the re-focus on the former Soviet Union and on Ukraine makes the material in this book refreshingly relevant to today's global political scene. It gives an historical context to what we are currently witnessing in both the Middle East and in Europe and in the former Soviet Union.

His final words are warmly embraced by all those who care and who still dare to nurture an empathetic understanding of our common humanity:

We must speak the truth fearlessly and without reservation.

EXCERPTS FROM HITLER'S REICHSTAG SPEECH 11 DECEMBER 1941

- 4 Days after Pearl Harbor



Why is there now another American president determined to incite wars and, above all, to stir up hostility against Germany to the point of war? National Socialism came to power in Germany in the same year [1933] that Roosevelt came to power in the United States. At this point it is important to examine the factors behind current developments.

First of all, the personal side of things: I understand very well that there is a world of difference between my own outlook on life and attitude, and that of President Roosevelt. Roosevelt came from an extremely wealthy family. By birth and origin he belonged to that class of people that is privileged in a democracy and assured of advancement. I myself was only the child of a small and

poor family, and I had to struggle through life by work and effort in spite of immense hardships.

As a member of the privileged class, Roosevelt experienced the [First] World War in a position under Wilson's shadow [as assistant secretary of the Navy]. As a result, he only knew the agreeable consequences of a conflict between nations from which some profited while others lost their lives.

During this same period, I lived very differently. I was not one of those who made history or profits, but rather one of those who carried out orders. As an ordinary soldier during those four years, I tried to do my duty in the face of the enemy. Of course, I returned from the war just as poor as when I entered in the fall of 1914. I thus shared my fate with millions of others, while Mr. Roosevelt shared his with the so-called upper ten thousand.

After the war, while Mr. Roosevelt tested his skills in financial speculation in order to profit personally from the inflation, that is, from the misfortune of others, I still lay in a military hospital along with many hundreds of thousands of others. Experienced in business, financially secure and enjoying the

patronage of his class, Roosevelt then finally chose a career in politics. During this same period, I struggled as a nameless and unknown man for the rebirth of my nation, which was the victim of the greatest injustice in its entire history.

Two different paths in life! Franklin Roosevelt took power in the United States as the candidate of a thoroughly capitalistic party, which helps those who serve it. When I became the Chancellor of the German Reich, I was the leader of a popular national movement, which I had created myself. **The powers that supported Mr. Roosevelt were the same powers I fought against**, out of concern for the fate of my people, and out of deepest inner conviction. The "brain trust" that served the new American president was made up of members of the same national group that we fought against in Germany as a parasitical expression of humanity, and which we began to remove from public life.

And yet, we also had something in common: Franklin Roosevelt took control of a country with an economy that had been ruined as a result of democratic influences, and I assumed the leadership of a Reich that was also on the edge of complete ruin, thanks to democracy. There were 13 million unemployed in the United States, while Germany had seven million unemployed and another seven million part-time workers. In both countries, public finances were in chaos, and it seemed that the spreading economic depression could not be stopped.

From then on, things developed in the United States and in the German Reich in such a way that future generations will have no difficulty in making a definitive evaluation of the two different socio-political theories. Whereas the German Reich experienced an enormous improvement in social, economic, cultural and artistic life in just a few years under National Socialist leadership, President Roosevelt was not able to bring about even limited improvements in his own country.

This task should have been much easier in the United States, with barely 15 people per square kilometer, as compared to 140 in Germany. If economic prosperity is not possible in that country, it must be the result of either a lack of will by the ruling leadership or the complete incompetence of the men in charge. In just five years, the economic problems were solved in Germany and unemployment was eliminated. During this same period, President Roosevelt enormously increased his country's national debt, devalued the dollar, further disrupted the economy and maintained the same number of unemployed.

But this is hardly remarkable when one realizes that the intellects appointed by this man, or more accurately, who appointed him, are members of that same group who, as Jews, are interested only in disruption and never in order. While we in National Socialist Germany took measures against financial speculation, it flourished tremendously under Roosevelt. The New Deal legislation of this man was spurious, and consequently the greatest error ever experienced by anyone. If his economic policies had continued indefinitely during peace time, there is no doubt that sooner or later they would have brought down this president, in spite of all his dialectical cleverness. **In a European country his career would certainly have ended in front of a national court for recklessly squandering the nation's wealth. And he**

would hardly have avoided a prison sentence by a civil court for criminally incompetent business management.

Many respected Americans also shared this view. A threatening opposition was growing all around this man, which led him to think that he could save himself only by diverting public attention from his domestic policies to foreign affairs. In this regard it is interesting to study the reports of Polish Ambassador Potocki from Washington, which repeatedly point out that Roosevelt was fully aware of the danger that his entire economic house of cards could collapse, and that therefore he absolutely had to divert attention to foreign policy.

The circle of Jews around Roosevelt encouraged him in this. With Old Testament vindictiveness they regarded the United States as the instrument that they and he could use to prepare a second Purim [slaughter of enemies] against the nations of Europe, which were increasingly anti-Jewish. **So it was that the Jews, in all of their satanic baseness, gathered around this man, and he relied on them.**

The American president increasingly used his influence to create conflicts, intensify existing conflicts, and, above all, to keep conflicts from being resolved peacefully. For years this man looked for a dispute anywhere in the world, but preferably in Europe, that he could use to create political entanglements with American economic obligations to one of the contending sides, which would then steadily involve America in the conflict and thus divert attention from his own confused domestic economic policies.

His actions against the German Reich in this regard have been particularly blunt. Starting in 1937, he began a series of speeches, including a particularly contemptible one on October 5, 1937, in Chicago, with which this man systematically incited the American public against Germany. He threatened to establish a kind of quarantine against the so-called authoritarian countries. As part of this steady and growing campaign of hate and incitement, President Roosevelt made another insulting statement [on Nov. 15, 1938] and then called the American ambassador in Berlin back to Washington for consultations.

Starting in November 1938, he began systematically and consciously to sabotage every possibility of a European peace policy. **In public he hypocritically claimed to be interested in peace while at the same time he threatened every country that was ready to pursue a policy of peaceful understanding by blocking credits, economic reprisals, calling in loans, and so forth.** In this regard, the reports of the Polish ambassadors in Washington, London, Paris and Brussels provide a shocking insight.

This man increased his campaign of incitement in January 1939. In a message to the U.S. Congress he threatened to take every measure short of war against the authoritarian countries.

He repeatedly claimed that other countries were trying to interfere in American affairs, and he talked a lot about upholding the Monroe Doctrine. Starting in March 1939 he began lecturing about internal European affairs that were of no concern of the President of the United States. In the first place, he doesn't understand these problems, and secondly, even if he did understand them and appreciated the historical circumstances, he has no more right to concern himself with central European affairs

than the German head of state has to take positions on or make judgments about conditions in the United States.

Mr. Roosevelt went even beyond that. Contrary to the rules of international law, he refused to recognize governments he didn't like, would not accept new ones, refused to dismiss ambassadors of non-existent countries, and even recognized them as legal governments. He went so far as to conclude treaties with these ambassadors, which then gave him the right to simply occupy foreign territories [Greenland and Iceland].

On April 15, 1939, Roosevelt made his famous appeal to me and the Duce [Mussolini], which was a mixture of geographical and political ignorance combined with the arrogance of a member of the millionaire class. We were called upon to make declarations and to conclude non-aggression pacts with a number of countries, many of which were not even independent because they had either been annexed or turned into subordinate protectorates by countries [Britain and France] allied with Mr. Roosevelt.

You will recall, my Deputies, that then [on April 28, 1939] I gave a polite but straightforward answer to this obtrusive gentleman, which succeeded in stopping, at least for a few months, the storm of chatter from this unsophisticated warmonger.

But now the honorable wife [Eleanor] took his place. She and her sons [she said] refused to live in a world such as ours. **That is at least understandable, for ours is world of work and not one of deceit and racketeering.** After a short rest, though, he was back at it.

On November 4, 1939, the Neutrality Act was revised and the arms embargo was repealed in favor of a one-sided supply [of weapons] to Germany's adversaries. In the same way, he pushed in eastern Asia for economic entanglements with China that would eventually lead to effective common interests.

On April 9 [1940] he froze all Norwegian and Danish assets [in the U.S.] on the lying pretext of wanting to keep them from falling into German hands, even though he knew full well, for example, that Germany has not interfered with, much less taken control of, the Danish government's administration of its financial affairs. Along with the other governments in exile, Roosevelt now recognized one for Norway.

On May 15, 1940, Dutch and Belgian governments in exile were also recognized, and at the same time Dutch and Belgian assets [in the USA] were frozen.

And now he feared that if peace were to come about in Europe, the billions he had squandered on military spending would soon be recognized as an obvious case of fraud, because no one would attack America unless America itself provoked the attack.

On June 17, 1940, the President of the United States froze French assets [in the USA] in order, so he said, to keep them from being seized by Germany, but in reality to get hold of the gold that was being brought from Casablanca on an American cruiser.

In July 1940 Roosevelt began to take many new measures toward war, such as permitting the service of American citizens in the British air force and the training of British air force personnel in the United States.

In August 1940 a joint military policy for the United States and Canada was established. In order to make the establishment of a joint American-Canadian defense committee plausible to at least the stupidest people, Roosevelt periodically invented crises and acted as if America was threatened by immediate attack. He would suddenly cancel trips and quickly return to Washington and do similar things in order to emphasize the seriousness of the situation to his followers, who really deserve pity.

He moved still closer to war in September 1940 when he transferred fifty American naval destroyers to the British fleet, and in return took control of military bases on British possessions in North and Central America. Future generations will determine the extent to which, along with all this hatred against socialist Germany, the desire to easily and safely take control of the British empire in its hour of disintegration may have also played a role.

After Britain was no longer able to pay cash for American deliveries he imposed the Lend-Lease Act on the American people. As President, he thereby obtained the authority to furnish lend-lease military aid to countries that he, Roosevelt, decided it was in America's vital interests to defend. **After it became clear that Germany would not respond under any circumstances to his continued boorish behavior, this man took another step forward in March 1941.**

As early as December 19, 1939, an American cruiser [the *Tuscaloosa*] that was inside the security zone maneuvered the [German] passenger liner *Columbus* into the hands of British warships. As a result, it had to be scuttled. On that same day, US military forces helped in an effort to capture the German merchant ship *Arauca*.

On January 27, 1940, and once again contrary to international law, the US cruiser *Trenton* reported the movements of the German merchant ships *Arauca*, *La Plata* and *Wangoni* to enemy naval forces.

On June 27, 1940, he announced a limitation on the free movement of foreign merchant ships in US ports, completely contrary to international law.

In November 1940 he permitted US warships to pursue the German merchant ships *Phrygia*, *Idarwald* and *Rhein* until they finally had to scuttle themselves to keep from falling into enemy hands.

On April 13, 1941, American ships were permitted to pass freely through the Red Sea in order to supply British armies in the Middle East.

In the meantime, in March [1941] all German ships were confiscated by the American authorities. In the process, German Reich citizens were treated in the most degrading way, ordered to certain locations in violation of international law, put under travel restrictions, and so forth. Two German officers who had escaped from Canadian captivity [to the United States] were shackled and returned to the Canadian authorities, likewise completely contrary to international law.

On March 27 [1941] the same president who is [supposedly] against all aggression announced support for [General] Simovic and his clique of usurpers [in Yugoslavia], who had come to power in Belgrade after the overthrow of the legal government. Several months earlier, President Roosevelt had sent [OSS chief] Colonel Donovan, a very inferior character, to the Balkans with orders to help organize an uprising against Germany and Italy in Sofia [Bulgaria] and Belgrade.

In April he [Roosevelt] promised lend-lease aid to Yugoslavia and Greece. At the end of April he recognized Yugoslav and Greek emigrants as governments in exile. And once again, in violation of international law, he froze Yugoslav and Greek assets.

Starting in mid-April [1941] US naval patrols began expanded operations in the western Atlantic, reporting their observations to the British.

On April 26, Roosevelt delivered twenty high speed patrol boats to Britain. At the same time, British naval ships were routinely being repaired in US ports.

On May 12, Norwegian ships operating for Britain were armed and repaired [in the USA], contrary to international law. On June 4, American troop transports arrived in Greenland to build air fields.

And on June 9 came the first British report that a US war ship, acting on orders of President Roosevelt, had attacked a German submarine near Greenland with depth charges.

On June 14, German assets in the United States were frozen, again in violation of international law.

On June 17, on the basis of a lying pretext, President Roosevelt demanded the recall of the German consuls and the closing of the German consulates. He also demanded the shutting down of the German "Transocean" press agency, the German Library of Information [in New York] and the German Reichsbahn [national railway] office.

On July 6 and 7 [1941], American armed forces acting on orders from Roosevelt occupied Iceland, which was in the area of German military operations. He hoped that this action would certainly, first, finally force Germany into war [against the USA] and, second, also neutralize the effectiveness of the German submarines. **At the same time, he promised military aid to the Soviet Union.**

On July 10 Navy Secretary Knox suddenly announced that the US Navy was under orders to fire against Axis warships.

On September 4 the US destroyer *Greer*, acting on his orders, operated together with British airplanes against German submarines in the Atlantic.

Five days later, a German submarine identified US destroyers as escort vessels with a British convoy.

In a speech delivered on September 11 [1941], Roosevelt at last personally confirmed that he had given the order to fire against all Axis ships, and he repeated the order.

On September 29, US patrols attacked a German submarine east of Greenland with depth charges.

On October 17 the US destroyer *Kearny*, operating as an escort for the British, attacked a German submarine with depth charges.

And on November 6 US armed forces seized the German ship *Odenwald* in violation of international law, took it to an American port, and imprisoned its crew.

I will overlook as meaningless the insulting attacks and rude statements by this so-called President against me personally. That he calls me a gangster is particularly meaningless, since this term did not originate in Europe, where such characters are uncommon, but in America. **And aside from that, I simply cannot feel insulted by Mr. Roosevelt because I regard him, like his predecessor Woodrow Wilson, as mentally unsound.**

We know that this man, with his Jewish supporters, has operated against Japan in the same way. I don't need to

go into that here. The same methods were used in that case as well. **This man first incites to war, and then he lies about its causes and makes baseless allegations. He repugnantly wraps himself in a cloak of Christian hypocrisy, while at the same time slowly but very steadily leading humanity into war.** And finally, as an old Freemason, he calls upon God to witness that his actions are honorable. His shameless misrepresentations of truth and violations of law are unparalleled in history.

I am sure that all of you have regarded it as an act of deliverance that a country [Japan] has finally acted to protest against all this in the very way that this man had actually hoped for, and which should not surprise him now [the attack on Pearl Harbor]. **After years of negotiating with this deceiver, the Japanese government finally had its fill of being treated in such a humiliating way.** All of us, the German people and, I believe, all other decent people around the world as well, regard this with deep appreciation.

We know the power behind Roosevelt. It is the same eternal Jew that believes that his hour has come to impose the same fate on us that we have all seen and experienced with horror in Soviet Russia. We have gotten to know first hand the Jewish paradise on earth. Millions of German soldiers have personally seen the land where this international Jewry has destroyed and annihilated people and property. Perhaps the President of the United States does not understand this. If so, that only speaks for his intellectual narrow-mindedness.

And we know that his entire effort is aimed at this goal: Even if we were not allied with Japan, we would still realize that the Jews and their Franklin Roosevelt intend to destroy one state after another. The German Reich of today has nothing in common with the Germany of the past. For our part, we will now do what this provocateur has been trying to achieve for years. And not just because we are allied with Japan, but rather because **Germany and Italy with their present leaderships have the insight and strength to realize that in this historic period the existence or non-existence of nations is being determined, perhaps for all time.** What this other world has in store for us is clear. They were able to bring the democratic Germany of the past to starvation, and they seek to destroy the National Socialist Germany of today.

When Mr. Churchill and Mr. Roosevelt declare that they want to one day build a new social order, that's about the same as a bald-headed barber recommending a tonic guaranteed to make hair grow. Rather than incite war, these gentlemen, who live in the most socially backward countries, should have concerned themselves with their own unemployed people. They have enough misery and poverty in their own countries to keep themselves busy insuring a just distribution of food there. As far as the German nation is concerned, it doesn't need charity, either from Mr. Churchill or Mr. Roosevelt -- but it does demand its rights. And it will do what it must to insure its right to life, even if a thousand Churchills and Roosevelts conspire together to prevent it.

Our nation has a history of nearly two thousand years. Never in this long period has it been so united and determined as it is today, and thanks to the National Socialist movement it will always be that way. At the

same time, Germany has perhaps never been as far-sighted, and seldom as conscious of honor. Accordingly, today I had the passports returned to the American *charge d'affaires*, and he was bluntly informed of the following:

President Roosevelt's steadily expanding policy has been aimed at an unlimited world dictatorship. In pursuing this goal, the United States and Britain have used every means to deny the German, Italian and Japanese nations the prerequisites for their vital natural existence. For this reason, the governments of Britain and the United States have opposed every effort to create a new and better order in the world, for both the present and the future.

Since the beginning of the war, the American President Roosevelt has steadily committed ever more serious crimes against international law. Along with illegal attacks against ships and other property of German and Italian citizens, there have been threats and even arbitrary deprivations of personal freedom by internment and such. The increasingly hostile attacks by the

American President Roosevelt have reached the point that he has ordered the U.S. navy, in complete violation of international law, to immediately and everywhere attack, fire upon and sink German and Italian ships. American officials have even boasted about destroying German submarines in this criminal manner.

American cruisers have attacked and captured German and Italian merchant ships, and their peaceful crews were taken away to imprisonment. In addition, President Roosevelt's plan to attack Germany and Italy with military forces in Europe by 1943 at the latest was made public in the United States [by the *Chicago Tribune* and several other newspapers on Dec. 4, 1941], and the American government made no effort to deny it.

Despite the years of intolerable provocations by President Roosevelt, Germany and Italy sincerely and very patiently tried to prevent the expansion of this war and to maintain relations with the United States. But as a result of his campaign, these efforts have failed.

<http://www.tomatobubble.com/id362.html>

Blogging about musical matters

Orchestra Drops Pianist Valentina Lisitsa Over 'Deeply Offensive' Tweets

Monday, April 06, 2015 - 02:00 PM

By [Brian Wise](#)



Valentina Lisitsa's latest album showcases the works of Liszt. (Courtesy of the artist)

Valentina Lisitsa, a well-known classical pianist whose Twitter feed regularly features barbed political commentary about the conflict in Ukraine, was dropped from two performances this week with the Toronto Symphony Orchestra, after the ensemble cited concerns over her "deeply offensive" and "provocative" online remarks.

Lisitsa, a Ukrainian-American who was scheduled to perform Rachmaninoff's Piano Concerto No. 2 with the Toronto Symphony (TSO) this Wednesday and Thursday, took to Facebook on Monday to accuse the orchestra of censorship.

"Yes, Toronto Symphony is going TO PAY ME NOT TO PLAY because I exercised the right to free speech," she wrote in a rambling, 1000-word post. "Yes, they will pay my fee but they are going to announce that I will be unable to play and they already found a substitute. And they even threatened me against saying anything about the cause of the cancellation. Seriously."

The pianist accused the orchestra of bowing to a "small but aggressive lobby claiming to represent Ukrainian community," which she said had taken issue with caricatures she posted to Twitter related to the *Charlie Hebdo* terrorist attacks in Paris.

The Toronto Symphony declined to comment Monday on Lisitsa's charges and issued a statement defending its decision to cancel her contract. "Due to ongoing accusations of deeply offensive language by Ukrainian media outlets, we have decided to replace Valentina Lisitsa," said the orchestra's president and CEO Jeff Melanson.

"Valentina Lisitsa's provocative comments have overshadowed past performances. As one of Canada's most important cultural institutions, our priority must remain on being a stage for the world's great works of music, and not for opinions that some believe to be deeply offensive." The orchestra would not specify which Tweets or other commentary it believed crossed a line.

Since 2010, Lisitsa has maintained a [Twitter feed](#) – now under the alias NedoUkrainka – through which she frequently expresses her strong support of Russian-backed separatists in Ukraine. In over 13,000 tweets aimed at more than 9,000 followers, she has posted **sharp denunciations of Western media and Ukraine** [n "neo-Nazis"](#) mixed with graphic battlefield videos and images.

Lisitsa, 41, was born in Kiev but moved to the U.S. after the breakup of the Soviet Union in 1991. She launched her career through a YouTube channel, which unlike her Twitter feed, is devoted to performance videos, and which now has received some 43 million visitors. In 2012 she signed a recording contract with Decca.

While frequently [described](#) as "the web's favorite pianist," until now, her political commentary has prompted little response by North American concert presenters or audiences. One exception came in October, when a small group of protesters [picketed](#) in front of her recital at Heinz Hall in Pittsburgh. She is scheduled to perform later this month in Spokane, WA and Dayton, OH.

Toronto pianist Stewart Goodyear will replace Lisitsa in this week's concerts.

4/7, 5 pm Update:

In an e-mail to WQXR Lisitsa said that she believes the Toronto Symphony decided to cancel her appearances at

the insistence of a patron, who threatened to withdraw a major donation. She said that while the decision was made back in December, "they were looking for a legal way to do it." Lisitsa added that if the orchestra had asked her to simply move the date to the next season, she would have likely accepted.

"However, the orchestra kept selling tickets using my name and the hall was sold out both days," she continued, "not something routinely happening at their subscription series. And that's another reason why their offer to pay me but to cancel was unacceptable." Lisitsa believed she was cheating her own fans as a result. A

response from the Toronto Symphony is expected shortly.

MORE IN: [WQXR Blog](#) [BRIAN WISE](#)

Brian Wise covers the classical music business for WQXR, including aspects of performance, technology, philanthropy and institutional trends. He produces the Café Concerts series and the podcast/show Conducting Business. He manages the station's homepage and makes sure what you hear on air is what you see online. Follow him on Twitter at [@BrianCwise](#).

http://www.wqxr.org/#!/story/orchestra-drops-valentialisitsaoverdeeplyoffensivetweets/?utm_source=local&utm_medium=treatment&utm_campaign=carousel&utm_content=item2



Charlie Hebdo and proposals for change of s18C of the Federal Racial Discrimination Act 1975

Published 01 Apr 2015

Patricia Monemvasitis

The terrorist attack on the office of Charlie Hebdo and its implications for freedom of expression in France has renewed debate of section 18C of the [Racial Discrimination Act 1975](#) in Australia. Critics of the Commonwealth the Act have claimed that s18C would unnecessarily curb freedom of expression, if a Charlie Hebdo-style publication were to operate in Australia.

Tim Wilson, Australia's Human Rights Commissioner, has argued that section 18's current wording, which makes it unlawful to 'do an act' which is 'reasonably likely' to 'offend, insult, humiliate or intimidate' another person based on either 'race, colour, or national or ethnic origin', would have prohibited the existence of Charlie Hebdo in Australia. The criticism follows an unsuccessful attempt by the Federal Attorney-General in 2014 to remove the words 'insult' and 'offend' from s18C(1), which it was argued impeded free speech including controversially, one's "right to be a bigot". We discussed these proposed amendments in our [August newsletter](#).

Despite the Australian Constitution not containing an explicit right to freedom of expression there are a number of reasons to doubt that s18C in its current format would have prohibited a Charlie Hebdo style publication, or have been an appropriate mechanism for dealing with religious vilification.

Section 18C does not include religion as a basis for breach of the [Racial Discrimination Act](#). As a result, religious satirisation would be permissible under s18C subject to the exemptions of s18D. However the satirisation of certain religions can present as a quandary when one enters the typological realm of ethno-religions. **Two examples are the Jewish,^[1] and Sikh^[2] faiths, which are each considered as comprising not only religious but also racial or ethnic identities. Therefore, whilst these particular minority religious groups might find protection in s18C, other religious groups such as Buddhist, Islamic or Christian groups more than likely will not.**

The absence from the Act of religion as an attribute of discrimination has prompted some to call for its inclusion as a means of promoting religious tolerance and harmony. Yet in the current discussion concerning the

proposal for limiting the ambit of s18C by removing the words 'insult' and 'offend', we submit that the debate to include religion as a basis of discrimination would be separate and confusing.

The Federal government has since rejected the plans to reopen the debate concerning s18C. Nevertheless, as Tim Soutphommasane, Racial Discrimination Commissioner, states 'Australia has a very good record when it comes to race relations and community harmony and there are distinctive differences between the French and Australian experiences which we should bear in mind, but we can never be complacent about these issues'.

^[1]**Jones v Toben** [2002] FCA 1150: An FCA case in which the Executive Council of Australian Jewry bought proceedings based on s18C against the Adelaide Institute for publishing offensive material towards Australian-Jewish people on its website. Branson J determined that Jews were a group with a common "ethnic origin", at [97], and that the Adelaide Institute had breached s18C.

^[2]**R (Watkins-Singh) v Aberdare Girls High School Governors** [2008] EWHC 1865 (Admin): a Welsh High Court case, based on the Race Relations Act 1976 which judicially determined that the wearing of Sikh jewellery in a public school was important for a Sikh student's religious and racial identity.

[Patricia Monemvasitis](#)



<http://www.codea.com.au/Publication-1778-charlie-hebdoandproposalsforchangeofs18cofthefederalracialdiscriminationact1975.aspx>

Julius-Hensel-Blog Klartext seit 1881

Eindruck Verhandlungstag ./ Ittner

Heute am 9. April fand um 13 Uhr im [Justizpalast Nürnberg](#), Saal 619 die Verhandlung gegen Gerhard Ittner wegen angebl. Volksverhetzung/Beleidigung des Staates statt. Fast auf den Tag genau 10 Jahre nach erster Urteilsverkündung geht es für den Angeklagten um weitere späte Jahre im Kerker (Landgericht = 3 Jahre aufwärts), die sich stark auf die Internetaktivitäten eines Richard Wilhelm von Neutitschein beziehen. Beziehungsweise der/den Person/en oder Kreisen hinter diesem Pseudonym.

Mindestens 6 Verhandlungstage stehen bis Mitte Juni noch an. Die in der "Presse" genannten "Knastbriefe an [Christian Bärthel](#)" fanden heute jedenfalls keine Erwähnung. "Wer glaubt denn heute noch der Zeitung", antwortete die Richterin auf Nachfrage. Bärthel selbst war am Vormittag geladen und auch nachmittags noch freiwillig anwesend, so daß ich ihn erstmals persönlich traf. Sympathisch! Gleiches gilt auch für die vorsitzende Richterin, welche mir ein dort Jahrzehnte tätiger befreundeter Anwalt als "gute Person, da hätte Ittner es weit schlimmer erwischen können" geschildert hat.



Justizpalast Nürnberg, das größte Justizgebäude Bayerns, Haupteingang – [König Ludwig III.](#) von Bayern weihte das Gebäude im September 1916 ein

Gerhard Ittner war während einer Verhandlung am 7. April 2005 vor Urteilsverkündung (2 Jahre & 9 Monate Haft) geflohen und wurde erst am 11. April 2012 mithilfe von Zielfahndern in Portugal verhaftet und (unter dem vorgeschützten Vorwurf einer NSU-/Terror-Verbindung)

in die BRD nach Nürnberg ausgeliefert, wo er bis Herbst 2014 seine 2005er-Haftstrafe verbüßte. Statt Entlassung wurde aber wegen der neuen Vorwürfe in Verbindung mit Fluchtgefahr weiter U-Haft verfügt.

"Richard Wilhelm von Neutitschein" (kurz "RiWi") samt seiner – bzw. unter seinem Namen – erschienenen Artikel und Kommentare erzeugte 2011/12 etwas Bewegung im Netz, die bis hin zur Erstellung von [falschen Blogs](#) und schlimmen Kommentaren unter dem Namen RiWi führten. Hierbei wurden oft Grenzen überschritten, die jegliche Toleranz ins Leere laufen ließen. Vermutlich ein Antifa-Produkt...

Gestern wurde Jurij Below befragt – sehr sehr lang und unergiebig hiess es –, so daß der ebenfalls geladene Honigmann verschoben werden musste.

Zusammenfassend kann ich sagen, daß die Einvernahme der Zeugen wohl noch 2 Monate dauern wird und es mir sehr fraglich erscheint, ob der vom Gericht zu findende Nachweis, das Ittner gleich Neutitschein sei, technisch einwandfrei zu führen überhaupt möglich ist. Persönlichen Kontakt zum Echtmenschen RiWi gab es meines Wissens für niemanden. Bei der Vielzahl von [Ironisten](#) und Trittbrettfahrern die damals RiWi spielten – man erinnere sich alleine an die Schlamm Schlachten im Honigmann-Kommentarbereich... –, sind genaue Zuordnungen rechtssicher schwierigst zu treffen.

Allein deshalb sehe ich die Chance auf einen Freispruch als hoch an, ohne bisher die genauen Hintergründe/Anlagepunkte zu kennen. Ich wünsche mir einen Freispruch für meinen sturen Freund Gerhard. Im zentralen Punkt, dem gewaltfreien Kampf um vollumfängliche Meinungsfreiheit, bin ich seiner Meinung. Ansonsten: Minenfelder sind eher zum Umgehen geeignet... und Gerhard Ittner hat lt. beisitzendem Richter keine Besuchseinschränkung.

<http://juliushensel.com/2015/04/eindruckverhandlungstag-ittner/>

Reuters:

"China und Indien sagen der von den USA geführten internationalen Ordnung den Kampf an!"

USrael entscheidend herausgefordert, die Angst grassiert

USrael wird künftig der Dollarhandel durch die Gründung neuer Währungs-Entwicklungsbanken in Asien so gut wie unmöglich gemacht. Es handelt sich faktisch um einen monetären Kriegseinsatz gegen USrael im aufstrebenden asiatischen Raum. **"Die Gründung der beiden Banken NDB und AIIB kann als eine direkte Herausforderung der derzeitigen globalen Finanzarchitektur betrachtet werden, die bislang von den Interessen der entwickelten westlichen Volkswirtschaften dominiert wurde"**, [1] sagt Woo Jun Jie, Forscher an der Singapur University für Technik und Design. Reuters wurde noch deutlicher: **"China sagt der internationalen Ordnung der Vereinigten Staaten den Kampf an"**.

Viele verweisen darauf, dass die Weltmacht USrael sich auf seine überlegene militärische Stärke gründe und der Währungskrieg der neuen Weltmächte gegen die USA keine entscheidende Rolle spiele. Sicherlich sind es auch die überlegenen militärischen Mittel, die USraels Weltmacht bislang garantierten. Aber in Wirklichkeit wurde die *"Weltmacht Nummer eins"* durch das Dollar-Weltleitwährungs-System garantiert. Denn nur mit der unerschöpflichen Geldquelle Dollar konnte auch das militärische System bestehen, da die unermesslichen Kosten dafür schließlich bezahlt werden mussten. Kein Geld, keine Bomben, so einfach ist das.

Für Amerikas Militärmaschinerie hat aber der Rest der Welt bezahlt, nicht USrael. Alle Länder der Erde mussten für ihren internationalen Handel das Dollar-Papier mit

ihren eigenen Währungen bezahlen, die sich auf Werte stützten. Mit Geld also, das gedeckt war. Ohne die uneingeschränkte Herrschaft des Dollars als Weltleitwährung ist aber die israelische Währung nur noch Papier: **"Viele argwöhnen, dass die US-Währung auf einen Kollaps zusteuert. Schließlich ist der Dollar eine Papier-Währung ohne Wert-Kern".** [2]

Eine gewisse Panik kam im westlichen System bereits auf, als China und Russland vereinbarten, ihren Handel untereinander nicht mehr auf Dollar-Basis zu betreiben, sondern auf Grundlage ihrer nationalen Währungen. Da insbesondere der gewaltige Energieexport Russlands nach China für die kommenden Jahre darunter fällt, war die Sorge um die Dollarvorherrschaft entsprechend groß. In der Londoner "City"-Presse konnte man lesen: **"Wenn Russland und China aber den Dollar für die Preisgestaltung auf dem Energiesektor total fallenlassen, könnte Amerikas Status als Reservewährung zunichte gemacht sein, und zwar rasch. Diese neue Geschäftspolitik zwischen Russland und China wird das amerikanische Finanzsystem aushöhlen und zu einer Welt voller Schmerz für den Westen werden."** [3] Ein solch fassungsloses Eingeständnis hatte es bis dahin im Bereich des politisch-korrekten Spektrums des Westens noch nicht gegeben.

Eine noch größere Panik konnte beobachtet werden, als am 15. Juli 2014 im brasilianischen Fortaleza die Dollar-Leitwährung von den BRICS-Staaten frontal angegriffen wurde. Auf ihrem 6. Gipfeltreffen gründeten die BRICS-Staaten nämlich eine Gegenweltbank, die *New Development Bank* (NDB), wie auch einen Gegen-IWF, genannt *Contingent Reserve Arrangement* (CRA). Beide Institute sind mit jeweils 100 Milliarden Dollar für den Anfang ausgestattet. Der Sitz der Institute befindet sich in Schanghai und Indien stellt den Direktor der Einrichtung für die erste Rotation.

Was USrael zum jetzigen Zeitpunkt noch an wichtigen monetären Machtmitteln verblieben ist, reduziert sich mehr oder weniger auf seine Weltbank-Zweigstelle in Asien, die zusammen mit Vasall Japan betrieben wird. Es handelt sich um die "Asiatische Entwicklungsbank" (ADB), die als Garant der Dollarvorherrschaft für die Zukunft in dieser aufstrebenden Weltregion dienen sollte. Aber auch diese Dollar-Währungsfestung wurde jetzt von China und Indien erstürmt. Die von China neu gegründete und dominierte *"Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank"* (AIIB) wurde am 24. Oktober 2014 in Peking feierlich aus der Taufe gehoben. 21 asiatische Staaten haben sich daran beteiligt.

Zum ersten Mal sah sich USrael gezwungen, massiv gegen eine Währungskonkurrenz einzuschreiten, um zu verhindern, dass sich auch noch ihre Standard-Vasallen, Australien und Süd Korea, daran beteiligen, wie *Reuters* berichtete: **"China sagt der von den USA geführten internationalen Ordnung den Kampf an. Die US-Regierung drückte ihre Besorgnis gegenüber dem neuen Rivalen des vom Westen dominierten multilateralen Weltkredit-Systems aus. Chinas neue 'Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank' (AIIB) wird als Bedrohung der Weltbank (World Bank) und der 'Asien-Entwicklungsbank' (Asian Development Bank) gesehen, die beide zu Washington und seinen Alliierten zählen. Medienberichten zufolge setzte**

der amerikanische Außenminister John Kerry Australien unter Druck, nicht der AIIB beizutreten". [4]

Nachdem die Lobby-Vasallen den Tatsachen der neuen Weltentwicklung nicht mehr ausweichen konnten und zur Kenntnis nehmen mussten, dass China längst dazu übergegangen ist, das US-Finanzsystem zu zerschlagen, räumten selbst die Hartkern-Hiwis ein, dass der Dollar mittlerweile von Chinas Gnaden abhängt. Die Zeit ist für China wohl reif geworden, den Todesstoß gegen den FED-Dollar zu führen: **"Zwischen Amerika und China droht der große Dollar-Streit. ... Es steht noch viel mehr auf dem Spiel: die Zukunft des Dollars als dominierende Weltwährung".** [5] Sogar die jüdische Presse Österreichs stimmte ein Klage lied an: **"Das Ende der Dollar-Hegemonie. ... Jetzt haben auch China und Russland ein Währungsabkommen geschlossen, das die Nutzung der jeweiligen Landeswährungen (Rubel und Renminbi/Yuan) im gegenseitigen Handel erhöhen soll. Das Ziel des sino-russischen Plans ist klar: die Postdollarökonomie – eine neue Weltordnung, in deren Zentrum nicht mehr die Vereinigten Staaten".** [6]

Was sich derzeit im Osten Asiens abspielt, ist für uns Freiheitliche die wohl zukunftsträchtigste Entwicklung seit langer, langer Zeit. Zum ersten Mal versuchte USrael massiv zu verhindern, dass ein neues Währungsgefüge gegen den Dollar-Hegemon entsteht. Aber ohne Erfolg. Australien und Südkorea haben sich zwar auf Druck USraels der neuen chinesisch-indischen Währungs-Bank (AIIB) zunächst nicht angeschlossen, aber ganz abgesagt haben sie auch nicht. Diesmal führte die Angst die Feder der westlichen Journaille, als sie über diese neue Entwicklung berichten musste, wie zum Beispiel bei den FAZ-Schreibern: **"Amerika hat seinen Verbündeten Australien aufgefordert, nicht beizutreten. Dem Vernehmen nach haben die Amerikaner hinter den Kulissen bei vielen Ländern vorgesprochen, um sie davon abzubringen, die AIIB von Beginn an zu unterstützen. Im australischen Kabinett kam es zu Auseinandersetzungen über das Verhalten gegenüber der neuen Bank. Während Schatzkanzler Joe Hockey aus pragmatischen Gründen für einen Beitritt sei, wende sich Außenministerin Julie Bishop dagegen. Auch Südkorea hat sich noch nicht entschieden".** Im nächsten Satz kam die Panik vor dem Ende der israelischen Welthegemonie bei dem FAZ-Schreiberling überdeutlich zum Ausdruck: **"All diese Banken würden den Einfluss des amerikanisch dominierten Internationalen Währungsfonds (IWF) und der Weltbank, beide in Washington angesiedelt, schwächen".** [7]

Diese entscheidende Weichenstellung des Weltwährungsgefüges am 24. Oktober 2014 in Peking wurde seltsamerweise in den Fernsehnachrichten der BRD völlig unterdrückt. In den USA berichtete quasi nur die jüdische Insider-Presse, die *New York Times*, völlig verunsichert darüber: **"China und 20 andere Länder unterzeichneten am 24. Oktober 2014 ein Memorandum zur Gründung einer internationalen Entwicklungsbank (AIIB), womit Peking westlichen Instituten wie der Weltbank entgegentritt. Die Vereinigten Staaten haben hinter den Kulissen versucht, diese Entwicklung zu verhindern. China**

sieht diesen Schritt als Voraussetzung an, seinen Einfluss in der Region zu erweitern, nachdem der Westen China ein größeres Mitspracherecht in den westlichen Leihsystemen wie der Weltbank verweigert hatte". [8]

Auffallend bei der äußerst sparsamen Berichterstattung über die Gründung der neuen Währungsbanken, speziell im asiatischen Raum, ist, dass im Zusammenhang mit der AIIB-Gründung immer wieder Indien genannt wird, obwohl Indien nur die Nummer zwei bei der AIIB ist. **"China und Indien fordern Washington heraus. Drei neue Entwicklungsbanken sind in Gründung - in Konkurrenz zu IWF und Weltbank. China und Indien fühlen sich stark genug, Einfluss auf ganz Asien zu nehmen".** Und im Satz danach wird eingestanden, dass es für Amerika um das Überleben als Weltmacht geht, dass Amerika ein letztes Aufbäumen vor dem Untergang versuche. **"Doch Amerika gibt das Feld nicht kampflos preis". [9]**

Warum wird Indien als "Juniorpartner" Chinas so prominent herausgestellt? Nach dem beeindruckenden, ja einmaligen Wahlsieg Narendra Modis in Indien, mehrheitlich von allen Kasten gewählt, fürchtet man im Westen eine Wiederbelebung der arischen Lebensphilosophie auf dem Subkontinent der Veden. Zum ersten Mal läuten die Alarmglocken aus dem Zentrum der Hölle öffentlich Sturm. Wir sehen also, vor wem sie Angst haben. Denn Modi ist nicht zu kaufen. Ein Asket wie er, der weder Fleisch isst, noch Alkohol trinkt, keine sexuellen Bindungen unterhält, Schwule schon gar nicht, ist immun gegen Geldangebote.

Narendra Modis Besuch am 26. September 2014 in Washington blieb für die "Supermacht" ergebnislos. Modi ließ sich lange Zeit, bis er die von Obama noch am Tag seines Wahlsiegs ausgesprochene Einladung mit einem Besuch bedachte. Die verdampfende Supermacht verbeugte sich vor der neuen Macht des hinduistischen Indiens, **"denn früher durfte Indiens Premier Modi nicht einmal in die USA einreisen, weil ihm eine Mitschuld an antimuslimischen Ausschreitungen gegeben wurde. Nun rollt ihm Washington den roten Teppich aus". [10]**

Die Rückbesinnung auf das Vermächtnis des Blutes macht dem Demiurg offenbar Angst. Denn daraus könnte die "Erinnerung des Blutes" entstehen. Und Modi ließ dies die Vasallen des Demiurg in Washington spüren. Er reiste just zu einer Zeit in die Höhle des Fürsten der Finsternis, wo er sein 9-tägiges Fasten zu Ehren der arischen Hindu-Göttin Durga [11] zelebrierte. **"Während des 9-tägigen Navrati-Fastens, eine Hindu-Festivität, besteht der Speiseplan für den Premierminister nur aus Wasser, höchstens aus Wasser mit ein wenig Lemonensaft. Keine feste Nahrung, kein Nahrungsersatz. ... 2012 verfasste Narendra Modi einen Aufsatz über das Navrati-Fest und erläuterte das Fasten als 'eine Quelle der Stärke, Antrieb und Inspiration'. Er fügte hinzu, dass das Navrati-Fasten ein einziger Akt der Selbstreinigung darstelle". [12]**

Kurz vor Narendra Modis Besuch in den USA reichte die sogenannte Menschenrechtsorganisation "American Center for Law & Justice" gegen Modi Klage wegen "versuchten Völkermords" in New York ein. Der Chef-Berater dieser Organisation, Jay Alan Sekulow, einer der eifrigsten Anhänger des "Messianischen Judaismus"

(Messianic Judaism), beschuldigt Modi der Anstiftung eines Kampfes in Gujarat zwischen Hindus und Moslems. Das internationale Judentum hatte sich bereits im Vorfeld der indischen Parlamentswahlen gegen Modi in Stellung gebracht. Rahul Gandhi, ein Mitglied der berühmtesten indischen Familien-Dynastie, trat mit dem Wohlwollen der jüdischen Organisationen gegen Modi im Wahlkampf an und hetzte mit dem sattsam bekannten Hass der jüdischen Organisationen gegen Modi. **"Narendra Modi ist mit Adolf Hitler zu vergleichen",** schrie Rahul Gandhi seinen Zuhörern zu. [13] Diese beabsichtigte Beleidigung schadete dem indischen Nationalisten aber nicht im Geringsten, wahrscheinlich dürfte der Vergleich mit Adolf Hitler seine Beliebtheit noch gesteigert haben.

"Modis Leben ist die Politik. Für sie hat er sein Elternhaus verlassen. Als junger Mann schloss er sich stattdessen einer Burschenschaft an, dem RSS. Extremistische Hindus hatten den RSS einst gegründet. Die Organisation ist das Rückgrat von Modis Partei, der BJP". [14]

Das Weltbild der RSS-Hindu-Nationalisten beruht auf den Grundsätzen der natürlichen, irdischen Ordnung und dem Weltwissen der *Bhagavad-Gita*. Die historische Ausrichtung des RSS, der politisch-ideologischen Heimat des neuen indischen Ministerpräsidenten, sieht sich auch in der Tradition von Adolf Hitlers Idealen verwurzelt:

"Der RSS (Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh) sowie ungezählte andere Hindu-Nationalisten bewunderten Mussolini und Hitler dafür, dass diese beiden Führer ihre durch den Krieg völlig heruntergekommenen Nationen wieder so schnell zu wirtschaftlicher und militärischer Größe geführt hatten. Es war die Bewunderung Hitlers und des Nationalsozialismus, die die Verbindung zwischen dem hinduistischen Indien und Deutschland so tief und umfassend machte. Es gab in Indien wohl keinen größeren Bewunderer Adolf Hitlers als Vinayak Damodar Savarkar, einer der damaligen RSS-Führer. ... 1939 stellte ein Sprecher der Hindu-Partei *Mahasabha* eine tiefere Verbindung zwischen der deutschen und der indischen Kultur her. Wörtlich sagte er: 'Deutschlands heilige Idee von der Wiedergeburt der arischen Kultur, die Glorifizierung des Hakenkreuzes (Swastika), sein Wissen um die vedischen Überlieferungen und seine leidenschaftliche Verteidigung der indo-germanischen Rassen und Zivilisationen sind bei den religiösen und sensiblen Hindus in Indien nicht nur willkommen, vielmehr sind sie ein Jubelfest der Hoffnung. Deutschlands Kreuzzug gegen die Feinde der arischen Kultur wird alle arischen Nationen wieder zur Besinnung und die Hindus zum Erwachen bringen, damit ihr verloren gegangener Ruhm eine neue Auferstehung feiern kann'." [15]

Der gellende Aufschrei des israelischen Schmerzes über die weltanschauliche Entwicklung Indiens und der Gründung der chinesisch-indischen Gegenweltbank in der asiatisch-pazifischen Region (AIIB) dürfte sich in der Tonlage noch verschärfen, denn Indien wird im November 2014 mit den SAARC-Ländern eine weitere asiatische Entwicklungsbank gründen. **"Indiens neuer Ministerpräsident Narendra Modi treibt die Idee einer Südasiatischen Entwicklungsbank voran. Mitte November beim SAARC-Gipfel in Kathmandu soll der Plan verabschiedet werden. Sie würde als Meilenstein im Zusammenwachsen der vollkommen**

zersplitterten Gruppe wahrgenommen werden und Indiens Ruf als Entwickler der Region festigen".

[16] Zur *Südasiatischen Wirtschaftsgemeinschaft*, kurz SAARC (South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation) gehören die Länder. Indien, Pakistan, Bangladesch, Nepal, Sri Lanka, Bhutan und die Malediven. Im April 2007 trat Afghanistan auf dem Gipfel in Neu Delhi der Organisation bei.

Natürlich musste die BRD als Anhängsel USraels die Gründungen der Gegen-Währungsbanken verurteilen. Der Bundesbankvorstand Andreas Dombret stellte prompt die Frage, ob die Welt überhaupt eine weitere Finanzinstitution brauche (F.A.Z. vom 30. September). Ja, Herr Dombret, wer sich von dieser dunklen Macht befreien will, muss diese monetären Kriegswaffen einsetzen.



Die usraelische Titanic ist nicht mehr zu retten!

Der Irrsinn des alle arm machenden Spekulationsterrors gipfelt darin, dass in den letzten acht Jahren in den USA der Lohnpegel ständig gefallen, anstatt gestiegen ist. Das ist allerdings nur folgerichtig, denn es gibt in diesem Land quasi keine Wertschöpfung mehr, fast alles wird im Ausland hergestellt. Die Löhne für die Herstellung von in den USA gebrauchten Produkten kommen logischerweise Menschen anderer Länder, den Produktionsstaaten zugute. Die Produkte, wofür andere Nationen den Wertschöpfungspreis kassieren, müssen in den USA mit Pump bezahlt werden. D. h., der Endverbraucher, der wegen der ausgelagerten Produktion keine Arbeit hat, bekommt einfach gedrucktes Papier in die Hand gedrückt, entweder als Arbeitsloser oder als überflüssiger, für einen Sklavenlohn arbeitender Dienstleister. Bald wird sich dieses Papier ohne wirklichen Kaufkraftwert erweisen. Die Armut grassiert in den USA aber heute schon. Laut dem Nachrichtenportal *Heise.de* vom 21. März 2012 **"gibt es in den USA die höchste Einkommensungleichheit unter den Industrieländern"**.

Die amerikanische Armut, die auch weltweit gelten soll, geht aus dem Verfall des Geldwertes hervor und wird dem Dollar sein sicheres Ende bereiten. Bislang musste der Rest der Welt alles bezahlen, was in den USA benötigt wurde, weil Dollars gekauft werden mussten, wenn man internationale Handelsgeschäfte abwickeln wollte. Dieser Ausbeutungszustand beginnt mit der Geschäftstätigkeit der neuen Gegen-Währungsbanken zu Ende zu gehen. Die Restproduktion an Wertschöpfung in den USA deckt nur noch einen kleinen Teil der

Lebenshaltungskosten der Massen ab, deshalb der seit Jahren grassierende Lohnrückgang.

Wegen dem Wahn, dass sich Geld aus Geld vermehre und nicht durch Arbeit zur Herstellung von Werten, sondern durch Spekulation, wurde in den USA schon lange nichts mehr gefördert, was ein Land und ein Volk zum Überleben braucht. Praktisches und technisches Wissen ist im Zeitalter der Vernichtungs-Spekulationswirtschaft nicht mehr gefragt. Nur kranke Geister, die sich kranke Finanzprodukte ausdenken können, gelten in Amerika als förderungswürdig.

Wo ein solcher Wahnsinn endet, bekam die Welt mit anschaulichen Bildern von der Explosion der amerikanischen Versorgungsrakete "Antares" präsentiert. In der Nacht vom 28. auf 29. Oktober 2014 sollte die Trägerrakete eine Kapsel mit technischem Versorgungsmaterial zur Raumstation ISS schicken. Die Rakete explodierte bereits sechs Sekunden nach dem Start sehr spektakulär. Anschließend musste die "Weltmacht Nummer eins" Russland um eine bemannte Ersatzrakete bitten, die nur Stunden später zur Raumstation flog.

Kein Wunder, denn das US-Raumfahrtprogramm musste im Auftrag der Wall-Street privatisiert werden, wie alles auf der Welt privatisiert werden muss, sogar die Regierungen sollen privatisiert werden. Selbst die Rohstoffe unserer Erde mussten im vom Westen beherrschten Teil der Welt jüdisch privatisiert werden. In der BRD tobt sich u.a. *Monsanto* auf unserem "privatisierten" Boden gentechnisch recht tödlich aus. Die sogenannten Privatisierungen des universalen Menschenheitsbesitzes ist ein größeres Verbrechen als der Genozid. DIE WELT meldete am 31.10.2014 (online), dass der jüdische Hedgefonds "Red Kite Group" in "Rothschild-City" mit dem Kupfer der Welt spekuliert und 80 Prozent der westlichen Bestände mit dem üblichen Zahlentrick zugeschanzt bekommt. **"Seit einigen Tagen geht es jedoch beim Kupfer nur noch in eine Richtung – ein einzelner Kunde kauft solche Mengen des Metalls auf, das ihm zeitweise 80 bis 90 Prozent der Bestände der LME gehören. ... im Wert von mehreren Billionen Euro"**.

Diese dämonisch Besessenen "kaufen" per eintippen einer Zahl in den Computer und schon "gehört" ihnen der Welt-Rohstoff. Anschließend müssen die verbliebenen Industrien das Kupfer, das oftmals sogar aus ihrem eigenen nationalen Boden kommt, mit 1000-prozentigem Aufschlag zurückkaufen. Ganz nach dem Prinzip des privatisierten Notenbank-Geldes von Rothschild. Die Völker stellen das Geld her, müssen es den "Märkten" erst geben und dann mit Zins- und Wettaufschlag zurückleihen. So geschieht es auch mit den Rohstoffen. Mit anderen Worten sollen die Machtjuden in Zukunft nicht nur die Erde, sondern auch formal und offiziell die in Aktiengesellschaften umgewandelt Regierungen besitzen. Wie es im Jüdischen Gesetz (Altes Testament) geschrieben steht.

Die Wall Street schuf denn auch für die Abteilung "Raumfahrt" das Spekulationsunternehmen *Orbital Science*, damit die Finanzjuden dagegen und dafür wetten konnten. Im Spekulations-Spektrum der usraelischen Wahnideen muss alles billig sein, damit die Spekulationen mit hohen Gewinnen abschließen. Da man an den Frachtraten zur Raumstation, die Fracht wird von der US-Regierung in Auftrag gegeben, eben das tausendfache einsacken möchte, müssen logischerweise die Trägerraketen billigst hergestellt werden. Das Ergebnis

dieser kranken Weltsicht ist die Explosion der Wall-Street-Rakete "Antares", sechs Sekunden nach dem Start. Viele im Rest der Welt haben sich wahrscheinlich gefreut, zumal kein Mensch zu Schaden kam.

Die "Antares"-Rakete, weil Spekulationsobjekt und wegen der amerikanischen Unfähigkeit, diese Technik noch selbst herzustellen, wird von dem ukrainischen Konstruktionsbüro Yuzhnoye gebaut, nach sowjetischen Bauplänen, die 40 Jahre alt sind. Hauptsache billig, also spekulationskonform. Die Kapsel für die Rakete wird übrigens in Italien hergestellt, billig. Soweit ist die "Supermacht" schon gekommen, und da quatschen die Vasallen dieses irrwitzigen Weltsystems noch davon, die Macht USraels würde ewig währen. Nein, das Ende des dunklen Weltsystems ist sehr nahe.

Und so kontrolliert Russland, das nur Stunden nach der Explosion der amerikanischen "Antares"-Rakete eine bemannte Sojus-Rakete in den Weltraum zur Versorgung der Raumstation schicken konnte, nunmehr allein den nahen Weltraum – und damit die Erde.

Auch militärisch kann Russland jetzt den kaputten Westen herausfordern. Russische Luftbegegnungen mit der Nato sind jetzt an der Tagesordnung, weil die bankrotte Finanzlage der Nato-Mitglieds-Länder nur noch einen bedingten Einsatz zulässt. **"Am Himmel über Europa kommt es derzeit zu deutlich mehr russischen Flugaktivitäten als sonst üblich. Laut Nato sind innerhalb von zwei Tagen zahlreiche Langstreckenbomber und Kampffjets bei ‚Manövern‘ über der Ostsee und der Nordsee sowie über dem Schwarzen Meer und dem Atlantik entdeckt worden. ... Die Häufung der Kontakte im internationalen Luftraum sei ‚ungewöhnlich‘ hieß es. ... Eine derart hohe Zahl von Einsätzen habe es indes in den vergangenen Jahren nur selten gegeben".** [17]

Putin führt die tote Weltmacht vor. Selbst das "tote Kind" der Weltmacht liegt schon seit langem verschmutzt und wie von Maden zerfressen in der Gosse. 60 Prozent der Waffensysteme der Bundeswehr sind nicht mehr einsatzfähig. Das benötigte Geld für eine funktionierende Bundeswehr geht nach Übersee für die Wetten mit der Wall Street drauf. Selbst die Polizei ist am Ende ihrer Einsatzfähigkeit angekommen, muss bald mit dem Fahrrad vor der Bereicherungs-Kriminalität flüchten – hoffnungslos.

- 1) FAZ, 24.10.2014, S. 20
- 2) Die Welt, 30.12.2013, S. 14
- 3) Telegraph.co.uk, London, 24 May 2014
- 4) in.reuters.com, 24.10.2014
- 5) spiegel.de, 06.07.2014
- 6) "Die Presse", Wien, 12.08.2014
- 7) FAZ, 24.10.2014, S. 20
- 8) nytimes.com, OCT. 24, 2014
- 9) FAZ, 24.10.2014, S. 20
- 10) Welt.de, 01.10.2014
- 11) Durga ist die wohl populärste Form der Göttin (devi) im Hinduismus. Sie gilt auch als die weibliche Urkraft/Energie des Universums und wird auch Lakshmi, der weiblichen Seite der wichtigsten hinduistischen Gottheit Vishnu zugeordnet. Vishnu wurde bereits von den Veden (den Ariern aus der Arktis) überliefert, als sie nach Indien kamen vor 6000 Jahren.
- 12) online.wsj.com, Sept. 25, 2014
- 13) Reuters, Mar 11, 2014
- 14) tagesschau.de, 16.05.2014
- 15) ibtimes.com, March 06, 2012
- 16) FAZ, 24.10.2014, S. 20
- 17) ww.spiegel.de 29.10.2014

Wer unwissend ist, muss glauben. Helft uns aufklären, die Zeit drängt. Ein Wissender wird 100 Unzufriedene führen in der Umbruchszeit. Wir nennen die Hintergründe beim Namen. Helft uns aufklären. Mit Ihrer finanziellen Unterstützung halten wir den Aufklärungskampf durch
<http://globalfire.tv/kampfkasse/kampfkasse.htm>
Fehler gefunden? Bitte geben Sie uns Bescheid: [Fehler über Formular melden](#)

I'm attacked by French President, website hacked, deleted from Wikipedia

Posted by [Kevin Barrett](#) on April 4, 2015

Charlie Hebdo book threatens to unleash paradigm shift

By [Kevin Barrett](#), [Veterans Today Editor](#)



"Ban zees book!"

The book is now available for purchase (if the website is working)

It was bad enough being [personally attacked by the President of France, who is threatening to ban my "conspiracy theories" at the national, European, and global levels.](#)

Even as Hollande is attacking me, they've launched cyber-attacks on [my book's website](#) and [deleted my Wikipedia entry](#). Here is what you now get when you look for "Kevin Barrett" on Wikipedia.

It's ironic that even as the President of France attacked me as one of the world's five leading conspiracy intellectuals, Wikipedia decided I was less significant than a couple of former rugby and cricket players of the same name.

If you google my name you get 28 million results and they're pretty much all me, with very few mentions of retired rugby players.

Somehow I don't think they took down my page because I had suddenly slipped into insignificance...at the same time I was being singled out by the President of France.

Like Jason Taverner, the celebrity hero of [a Philip K. Dick novel](#), I have awakened into a world in which I no longer exist – at least not on Wikipedia. I have been...deleted.

On the other hand, being deleted from Wikipedia IS better than being deleted, period. I hear they occasionally do that to "conspiracy theorists." Like [Jim Keith dying from "blunt force trauma to the chest" during knee surgery](#), or [Bill Cooper](#) getting shot down in his own home for exposing 9/11, or [Dorothy Kilgallen](#) getting whacked to nix her book on the JFK assassination.

Along with Wikipedia's consigning me to oblivion, someone launched cyber attacks on the [We Are NOT Charlie Hebdo](#) website.

Listen to [my discussion with Huffington Post Bloggers Union journalist Daniel Bruno](#), who discovered the Wikipedia deletion and cyber-hacking.

It seems that someone really, REALLY does not want you to read [We Are NOT Charlie Hebdo](#).

Why are they so afraid of this book?

Maybe because, as David Ray Griffin says, it's a "breakthrough in the study of State Crimes Against Democracy (SCADS)." Exposing a huge false flag less than three months after it happened, in the form of a credible, scholarly book, is something new under the sun.

We Are NOT Charlie Hebdo has been recommended by a former Canadian Minister of Defense and one of the world's leading International Law professors. It includes essays by two former Presidential advisors, a six-term Congressional representative who ran for president, the world's best-known liberal Jewish thinker, France's leading engagé philosopher, the world's leading liberal protestant theologian, and the current Supreme Leader of Iran...among other serious people.

[We Are Not Charlie Hebdo](#) shreds the official myth and blows the pieces away like confetti in a hurricane. Even readers who don't like "conspiracy theories" will be unable to dismiss this book, given the gravitas of the people behind it.

No wonder they wish it would just go away. No wonder they wish I would just go away. No wonder they're trying to make me, and the book, vanish from cyberspace.

Some day there may be a "cyber-9/11" that will make Hollande's proposed ban on internet conspiracy theories a reality. That is one good reason to purchase and hang onto a hard copy of this book.

Another reason: If [We Are NOT Charlie Hebdo](#) does well, it could be a game changer. As communications professor James Tracy says, there is a [paradigm shift](#) underway. More and more people are waking up to the reality of false flags. This book, with its credible deconstruction of Charlie Hebdo and other false flags that preceded and followed it, could help push the process to completion.

[\[Listen to James Tracy discussing the coming "conspiracy theory paradigm shift" on my radio show.\]](#)

My extensive and extensively-vandalized Wikipedia entry had been up for almost a decade. Now it's gone.

The screenshot shows a Google search for "kevin barrett". The search bar at the top shows the query "kevin barrett" with a magnifying glass icon. Below the search bar, there are tabs for "Web", "Videos", "News", "Images", "Maps", and "More". The search results are displayed on the left side of the page, showing several links to websites like "www.truthihad.com", "en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kevin_Barrett", "www.veteranstoday.com", "www.amazon.com", "truthihadradio.blogspot.com", "www.news.wisc.edu", and "www.infowars.com". On the right side of the page, there is a profile card for "Kevin Barrett" with a photo, a "More images" link, and a list of "People also search for" including James H. Fetzer, Philip Marshall, David Ray Griffin, William Francis Pepper, and Nicholas Kollerstrom. Below the profile card, there is a section titled "From Google Contacts" with a photo of Kevin Barrett and the text "Only you can see this result". At the bottom of the page, there is a link to a Wikipedia page: <http://www.veteranstoday.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/wayback.jpg>.

>>Journalists have given up on truth-telling - Wahrheitsgehalt<<

GermanWings Absturz- die verschwiegene Ungereimtheiten auf einen Blick Klagemauer TV 10.4 15

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f3TxvK-nVm0> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6NoQQaHfgTs>

Holocaust Revisionists know all about this moral and intellectual decline. Why did no-one mention the Pilot's May-Day call? What about the three French jets observed overhead? There is no end to telling lies..